Disability in Twentieth-Century German Culture

Corporealities: Discourses of Disability

David T. Mitchell and Sharon L. Snyder, editors

Books available in the series:

"Defects": Engendering the Modern Body edited by Helen Deutsch and Felicity Nussbaum

Revels in Madness: Insanity in Medicine and Literature by Allen Thiher

Points of Contact: Disability, Art, and Culture edited by Susan Crutchfield and Marcy Epstein

A History of Disability by Henri-Jacques Stiker

Disabled Veterans in History edited by David A. Gerber

Narrative Prosthesis: Disability and the Dependencies of Discourse by David T. Mitchell and Sharon L. Snyder

Backlash Against the ADA: Reinterpreting Disability Rights edited by Linda Hamilton Krieger

The Staff of Oedipus: Transforming Disability in Ancient Greece by Martha L. Rose

Fictions of Affliction: Physical Disability in Victorian Culture by Martha Stoddard Holmes

Foucault and the Government of Disability edited by Shelley Tremain

Bodies in Commotion: Disability and Performance edited by Carrie Sandahl and Philip Auslander

Moving Beyond Prozac, DSM, and the New Psychiatry: The Birth of Postpsychiatry by Bradley Lewis

Disability in Twentieth-Century German Culture by Carol Poore

Disability in Twentieth-Century German Culture

Carol Poore

THE UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN PRESS Ann Arbor

Copyright © by the University of Michigan 2007 All rights reserved Published in the United States of America by The University of Michigan Press Manufactured in the United States of America ® Printed on acid-free paper

2010 2009 2008 2007 4 3 2 1

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, or otherwise, without the written permission of the publisher.

A CIP catalog record for this book is available from the British Library.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Poore, Carol.

Disability in twentieth-century German culture / Carol Poore.

p. cm. — (Corporealities : discourses of disability) Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN-13: 978-0-472-11595-2 (cloth : alk. paper)

ISBN-10: 0-472-11595-2 (cloth: alk. paper)

1. People with disabilities—Germany (West)—History—20th century. 2. People with disabilities—Germany (East)—History—20th

century. 3. People with disabilities—Germany (West)—Public opinion. 4. People with disabilities—Germany (East)—Public opinion. 5. Public opinion—Germany (West) 6. Public opinion—

Germany (East) 7. People with disabilities—Nazi persecution. 8. People with disabilities—United States—Case studies. I. Title.

HV1559.G3P66 2007 305.9'08509430904—dc22

2007010657

Acknowledgments

In many ways, this book is a product of my entire personal and scholarly life, and it is deeply rewarding to thank everyone who has given me such extraordinary help and support along the way. My heartfelt appreciation goes to LeAnn Fields, senior executive editor at the University of Michigan Press, for guiding this book to publication. David Mitchell and Sharon Snyder honored me by including my book in their disability studies series, Corporealities. Rebecca Mostov and Anna M. Szymanski fielded my many technical questions with skill and patience, and Marcia LaBrenz and Jan Opdyke provided meticulous copyediting. A fellowship from the George A. and Eliza Gardner Howard Foundation and a sabbatical from Brown University gave me time for writing.

Grateful acknowledgment is made for permission to reprint portions of my articles: "'But Roosevelt Could Walk': Envisioning Disability in Germany and the United States," *Michigan Quarterly Review* 37:2 (spring 1998); "From Problem Child to Human Being: Positive Representations of Physical Disability in Germany," in *Heroes and Heroines in German Culture*, ed. Stephen Brockmann and James Steakley (Amsterdam: Rodopi, 2001); "'No Friend of the Third Reich': Disability as the Basis for Antifascist Resistance in Arnold Zweig's *Das Beil von Wandsbek*," in *Disability Studies: Enabling the Humanities*, ed. Sharon Snyder et al. (New York: Modern Language Association, 2002); "The (Im)Perfect Human Being and the Beginning of Disability Studies in Germany," *New German Critique* 86 (spring-summer 2002); "Who Belongs? Disability and the German Nation in Postwar Literature and Film," *German Studies Review* 26:1 (February 2003); and "Recovering Disability Rights in Weimar Germany," *Radical History Review* 94 (winter 2006).

I conducted most of my research at the University of Wisconsin-Madison, including the Memorial Library and Special Collections, Kohler Art Library, Steenbock Agricultural Library, Law School Library, and Middleton Health Sciences Library. The outstanding collections there on national socialism and the German Democratic Republic proved especially useful. I also thank the Brown University interlibrary

loan office, the Staatsbibliothek Preußischer Kulturbesitz (Berlin), and the libraries of the Humboldt University, the Free University, the Universität-Gesamthochschule Essen, and the Evangelische Fachhochschule Bochum. At Harvard University I used the Widener Library and the Botany Library of the Arnold Arboretum.

Colleagues and friends in Germany who carried on long conversations with me and supplied invaluable information about disability include Uschi Aurien, Theresia Degener, Ulrike Gottschalk, Gerda Jun, Rebecca Maskos, Erika Richter, Birgit Rothenberg, Ilja Seifert, Gusti Steiner (deceased), and Matthias Vernaldi. Friends and colleagues in the United States who supported me in similar ways include Richard Baker, Jan Cal, Bill and Lo Crossgrove, Jim Ferris, Milan Hauner, Keri Hickey, Dennis Hogan, Robert Holub, Simi Linton, Fred and Ursula Love, David Mitchell, Brigitte Palmer, Sharon Snyder, Jim Steakley, John and Connie Susa, and Rosemarie Garland-Thomson. Thanks to Katharina Gerstenberger and the graduate students at Cornell University, Jürgen Schaupp and the graduate students at the University of Wisconsin-Madison, Stephen Brockmann, and Jim Steakley for inviting me to give lectures that eventually became book chapters.

At Brown, I have learned a great deal from the students in my disability studies courses, especially Dominika Bednarska and Alina Engelman. Thanks to Susan Pliner, Elyse Chaplin, and Catherine Axe, successive disability support services coordinators at Brown, who gave me opportunities to reach the wider university community and worked tirelessly to create a more accessible university. Many facilities management employees, especially Alice Chin, Robert La Vigne, and Michael McCormick, constructed and maintained the safe, accessible environments that are the necessary basis for my research and teaching.

I am grateful to colleagues who read and critiqued my manuscript, including Sally Chivers, Bill Crossgrove, Ulrike Gottschalk, Vicki Hill, Nicole Markotic, Diethelm Prowe, Marc Silberman, Roberto Simanowski, Duncan Smith, Anne Waldschmidt, and the anonymous readers. Of course, any errors remaining in the book are mine alone. Special thanks go to David Bathrick for being the first to encourage me to write about disability in Germany during a conversation many years ago on Rutledge Street. He published my first article on this topic, and Helen Fehervary wrote a long, serious critique of it. I greatly appreciate Sander Gilman's interest in my work over the years. As so many times before, Jost Hermand was my first and most engaged reader.

Throughout my life, I have been unusually fortunate to encounter many people who gave me opportunities often unavailable to disabled people, and I thank them all. At the beginning was Mrs. Hampton Rowland, principal of Chase Street Elementary School in Athens, Georgia, who allowed me to attend kindergarten at my local public school in 1954 long before children with disabilities had the legal right to an appropriate education. I would also like to recognize my colleagues in the Brown University Department of German Studies who hired me in 1982: Dagmar Barnouw, Bill Crossgrove, Kay Goodman, Fred Love, Albert Schmitt, Duncan Smith, and Bob Warnock—a unique group of genuine intellectuals. The medical treatment I received at the Georgia Warm Springs Foundation in the 1950s and 1960s was always premised on the belief that people who had had polio would go on to live full lives. More recently, Dr. Julie Silver and her staff at the Spaulding Rehabilitation Center introduced me to the pleasures of scootering, which has given me more energy for intellectual pursuits.

For all kinds of personal support, I am indebted to my friends Pat Desnoyers, Vicki, Dennis, Emily and Lucas Hill, Kathy McElroy, David Newby, and Wit Ylitalo. I was lucky to grow up in a loving family that was always a source of strength and fun among my aunts, uncles, and cousins Hugh and Laura Poore, Drayton and Jan Poore, Edna and Hale Bradley, Ann Gurley, James Poore, Douglas Bradley, Wayne Bradley, Marie Dawkins, and Hope Beasley.

This book is dedicated to the memory of my father, Charles Lee Poore (1917–97), who was always there; and to my mother, Lillian Jasa Poore, who has survived a lifetime of mental illness with great fortitude and dignity.

Contents

List of Illustrations Abbreviations Preface		xi xiii xv
Chapter 1	Disability in the Culture of the Weimar Republic	1
Chapter 2	Disability and Nazi Culture	67
Chapter 3	No Friends of the Third Reich: Different Views of Disability from Exile	139
Chapter 4	Disability in the Defeated Nation: The Federal Republic	152
Chapter 5	Breaking the Spell of Metaphor: Three Examples from Film, Literature, and the Media	195
Chapter 6	Disability and Socialist Images of the Human Being in the Culture of the German Democratic Republic	231
Chapter 7	Disability Rights, Disability Culture, Disability Studies	273
Chapter 8	German/American Bodies Politic: A Look at Some Current Biocultural Debates	307
Chapter 9	We Shall Overcome Overcoming: An American Professor's Reflections on Disability in Germany and the United States	324
Notes		349
Selected Bibliography		397
Index of Names		403

Illustrations

1.	Christian Schad, Agosta, the Winged Man, and Rasha, the	
	Black Dove	2
2.	Master craftsman with no hands or feet working at	
	the lathe	11
3.	Disabled veteran begging in Berlin after World War I	17
4.	Max Beckmann, Hell: The Way Home	23
5.	"War agrees with me like a stay at a health resort"	26
6.	Otto Dix, The Skat Players	31
7.	Heinrich Hoerle, Monument to the Unknown Prostheses	37
8.	Children with spinal tuberculosis enjoying the fresh air at	
	the Oskar-Helene Home in Berlin	50
9.	Paul Schultze-Naumburg's juxtaposition of modern art	
	with photographs of disabled people	54
10.	George Grosz, Portrait of the Writer Max Herrmann-Neiße	63
11.	Hitler greeting disabled veterans	72
12.	Illustration from a Nazi high school biology textbook	90
13.	"Sterilization: not punishment, but liberation."	103
14.	"Inferior hereditary material penetrates a village."	107
15.	Nazi publicity poster for the film Ich klage an	114
16.	Blind girls playing music at a meeting of the Nazi Winter	
	Aid Charity	127
17.	High jump performed by a member of the Reich League of	
	the Physically Handicapped	131
18.	Two disabled veterans with amputated legs on homemade	
	wheeled platforms	171
19.	"Sorry, but I have to supply both of these things together."	176
20.	Young polio patients playing cowboys and Indians at the	
	Oskar-Helene Home in Berlin	177
21.	Publicity poster for Rainer Werner Fassbinder's	
	Chinese Roulette	201
22.	Wolfgang Schäuble: "Will he hold up?"	222

xii • Illustrations

23.	. Boy at a pottery wheel in an East German institution for		
	cognitively disabled people	247	
24.	Banner reading "Don't pity the disabled person; pity the		
	society that rejects him"	278	
25.	A disabled demonstrator wears the yellow star	279	
26.	"No speeches, no segregation, no violations of		
	human rights."	281	
27.	Disability rights activist Franz Christoph about to strike		
	Federal President Karl Carstens with his crutch	282	
28.	Disabled women critique the beauty ideal	285	
29.	The dance company HandiCapache performing		
	Tablestories	297	
30.	"London allows the selection of embryos. Questionable?"	308	

Abbreviations

ABM	Arbeitsgemeinschaft Behinderte in den Medien (Project
	Group on Disabled People in the Media)
ABiD	Allgemeiner Behindertenverband in Deutschland (General
	Association of the Disabled in Germany)
ADA	Americans with Disabilities Act
BDM	Bund deutscher Mädel (League of German Girls)
BSV	Blinden- und Sehschwachenverband (Association for the
	Blind and Visually Impaired)
CDU	Christlich-Demokratische Union (Christian Democratic
	Union)
CeBeeF	Bundesarbeitsgemeinschaft der Clubs Behinderter und ihrer
	Freunde (National Coalition of Clubs of the Handicapped
	and Their Friends)
DKOV	Deutsche Kriegsopferversorgung
DKP	Deutsche Kommunistische Partei (German Communist
	Party)
FDP	Freie Demokratische Partei (Free Democratic Party)
FRG	Federal Republic of Germany (West Germany)
GDR	German Democratic Republic (East Germany)
GSV	Gehörlosen- und Schwerhörigenverband (Association for
	the Deaf and Hard of Hearing)
HJ	Hitler Jugend (Hitler Youth)
ISL	Interessenvertretung Selbstbestimmt Leben in Deutschland
	(Independent Living Movement in Germany)
KLV	Kinderlandverschickung (Nazi program for evacuating chil-
	dren during World War II)
KPD	Kommunistische Partei Deutschlands (Communist Party of
	Germany)
NSDAP	Nationalsozialistische Deutsche Arbeiterpartei (National
	Socialist German Workers Party/Nazi Party)
NSKOV	Nationalsozialistische Kriegsopferversorgung (National
	Socialist War Victims Association)

xiv • Abbreviations

Nationalsozialistische Volkswohlfahrt (National Socialist **NSV** People's Welfare Office) **ORP** Rassenpolitisches Amt (Office of Racial Politics) **PDS** Partei des demokratischen Sozialismus (Party of Democratic Socialism) **POW** Prisoner of war **RBK** Reichsbund der Körperbehinderten (Reich League of the Physically Handicapped) **RBV** Reichsdeutscher Blindenverband (German Association of the Blind) Reichsverband der Gehörlosen Deutschlands (Reich Union REGEDE of the Deaf of Germany) **RWU** Reichsanstalt für Film und Bild in Wissenschaft und Unterricht (Reich Institute for Films and Images in Science and Education) SA Sturmabteilung (Nazi brown-shirt storm troopers) SBK Selbsthilfebund der Körperbehinderten (Self-Help League of the Physically Handicapped) SBZ Sowjetische Besatzungszone (Soviet Occupation Zone) Sozialistische Einheitspartei Deutschlands (Socialist Unity SED Party of Germany) Soviet Military Administration in Germany **SMAD** Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands (Social Demo-SPD cratic Party of Germany) SS Schutzstaffel (Nazi black-shirt storm troopers) University of California at Los Angeles **UCLA** UN **United Nations** VdK Verband der Kriegsbeschädigten, Kriegshinterbliebenen, und Sozialrentner (Association of War-Disabled, War Survivors, and Social Pensioners)

Preface

The goal of this book is to write disability into a central place in the German cultural history of the twentieth century. It is actually surprising that this has not been done before. After all, many leading artists, writers, filmmakers, and others have taken disability as one of their most significant themes. Intense debates have occurred in many sociopolitical contexts over how to interpret and evaluate particular kinds of variations in human bodies. In these controversies, disability has often been a focal point for clashes between more inclusive, democratic visions of citizenship and intolerant, authoritarian standpoints. And fundamental ethical questions about the value and quality of human life have frequently revolved around issues related to disability.

Even a cursory survey can easily show that both cultural representations of disability and debates about the proper places for disabled people in German society have often been central to major controversies about aesthetics, normality, individuality, citizenship, and morality. Yet, with a few notable exceptions, disability has remained outside the focus of most cultural historians in German studies.¹ This is so for a number of reasons. First, until recently most scholars have considered disability mainly from a medical rather than a minority perspective. That is, they have viewed disability primarily as a problem of individual impairment and thus as a subject for experts in medicine, rehabilitation, or education rather than as a cultural, political, and social phenomenon having to do with the meanings given to particular kinds of bodies. Second, when historians have dealt with disability, they have usually defined their research according to its cause. For example, there are historical studies about disabled veterans, the social welfare system for disabled workers, and the development of eugenics. Monographs recount the history of treating and educating various groups such as people who are mentally ill, blind, or deaf. And there are even a few analyses of how members of some of these groups have been represented in the cultural sphere. The main drawback of such partial approaches, however, is that they do not tie these phenomena together as comprising general discourses about disability and disabled people. Third, it is still a relatively new idea to most cultural historians that ubiquitous one-sided representations of disability have real consequences for people who are disabled—in contrast to more generally accepted views about the harmfulness of, say, anti-Semitic, racial, or gender stereotypes. It is all the more surprising that German cultural historians have neglected to focus on disability because struggles over definitions of *normality*—which has often been held up as the antithesis of *disability*—had such grave consequences in twentieth-century Germany.

Scholars who are themselves members of previously excluded groups—such as women, racial and ethnic minorities, gays and lesbians, and sometimes even working-class people—have generally taken the lead in challenging and transforming outmoded interpretive paradigms rooted in paternalism or prejudice. Linked to the disability rights movement that began in the United States in the late 1960s, disabled scholars have been hired as American university faculty in somewhat more significant numbers in recent decades. Some of these colleagues, along with nondisabled researchers who share their perspectives, have been engaged in developing disability studies in the humanities, though more so in areas such as English and American cultural studies and history than in German studies. Scholars in this rapidly growing interdisciplinary field consider disability not in terms of medical issues but with regard to far-reaching questions about how bodies are represented in culture. Viewed like this, disability becomes a category of human variation to be studied in the complex ways accorded to race, ethnicity, class, gender, or sexual orientation. Informed by this perspective, my book has two main trajectories. In political terms, I focus on the struggles among advocates of charity, rehabilitation experts, and proponents of segregation or elimination, on the one hand, and the increasingly successful efforts of disabled people and their allies to create more democratic models of inclusion on the other. In cultural terms, I trace a development from the traditional use of disability as a negative metaphor to more realistic depictions of disabled people as ordinary human beings and to the growing participation of disabled people in creating cultural texts. My book shows how disabled people in Germany have moved from being relatively passive objects to more active subjects and from being represented mainly by others to telling their own stories.

I have found it easier to bring disability into focus as a cultural phenomenon by keeping in mind two problems of definition. First, and more specifically, it is often useful for purposes of interpretation to distinguish among disabled veterans, people with disabilities considered to be hereditary who were the main targets of eugenics, and other civilians

whose disabilities resulted from illnesses or accidents. It is just as important, however, to be attuned to the links among these three groups such as representations that blur the borders between them or fears of falling from a relatively unproblematic status to a more stigmatized position. Second, I have attempted to employ the most expansive definition of disability possible. At first, I planned to focus on cultural representations of physical disability, but I soon found this to be an untenable limitation. For one thing, I learned that the dividing lines between physical and mental disabilities were often not all that clear. For another, since people with mental disabilities have always been the most stigmatized group and they were the main disabled victims of Nazism, I soon saw that the subject of mental disability was essential to my project. Consequently, the range of embodied characteristics that I associate with disability includes physical, sensory, cognitive, and mental impairments along with all those familiar cultural figures such as freaks, invalids, monsters, cripples, idiots, and the insane. I am well aware that this approach leads to impreciseness at points. I hope, however, that it also illuminates unexpected connections and opens up thought-provoking problems that other scholars will refine in the future. Here a note about the language used in this book is necessary. I do not place quotation marks around pejoratives such as cripple or idiot when it is obvious that they are other people's words used in the context of earlier times. I do set them off whenever it seems necessary to indicate clearly that the terms are not my own.

Intensively studying disability in German culture opened up rich perspectives and a host of fascinating questions that I had not imagined before. This means that the main organizational problem in writing this book was not contending with a dearth of material but having to select from an enormous amount of potential sources. Some readers may take issue with the topics I chose to emphasize. I hope they will go on to research other areas in this field. Rich projects await colleagues interested in writing about the cultural history of disability in Germany before World War I. Topics that immediately come to mind include representations of disability understood as broadly as possible in art, literature, and the public sphere; the cultural history of monstrosities and freaks; the meanings of ugliness and beauty in classical aesthetics; automatons and prostheses in romanticism; cultural discourses about degeneracy and heredity in the late nineteenth century; gendered portrayals of disability and illness; and so forth.²

My book can be described as an extended exercise in seeing disability where its broader significance as an important cultural phenomenon has previously been overlooked. To accomplish this, I follow two strate-

gies. First, awareness of disability as an important sociocultural category makes it possible to reinterpret many well-known art and literary works, films, and media depictions as texts that are also about disability, although scholars have generally not emphasized this before. Second, I also focus on points where intense debates erupted over the proper places for disabled people in the public sphere. These controversies were often flash points of struggles over such things as the meaning of "Germanness," the makeup of the nation, the emancipatory possibilities of socialism, or the inclusiveness of democracy. Where appropriate, I also include comparisons with the United States in order to critique assumptions about normality more effectively. Throughout, I have been concerned with trying to recover the lost or suppressed voices of disabled Germans themselves.

Against the background of the two main prewar discourses about disability—the integrative approach of rehabilitation and the antidemocratic perspectives of eugenics—chapter 1 analyzes the flood of images of disability after World War I found in visual art (especially that of Grosz, Dix, Beckmann, and Hoerle), literature (Leonhard Frank, Toller, and Remarque), and other kinds of texts such as autobiographies, films, political statements, and rehabilitation manuals. Diverging perspectives on disability were integral to debates over the meaning of democracy in the Weimar Republic. Many progressive artists and writers depicted disabled veterans using disability as a metaphor through which to critique social injustice. Others, influenced by dadaism and constructivism, explored the new connections between human bodies and prosthetic technologies, linking the figures of the disabled veteran and the factory worker. Advocates of eugenics used negative images of disability both to attack modern art as degenerate and to argue that people with hereditary disabilities should be eliminated. And in a democratic spirit some disabled Germans rebutted stereotypical views of disability and founded their first self-advocacy organizations.

Chapter 2 explores how central disability was to Nazi culture and politics by drawing together material about disabled veterans, people considered to have hereditary diseases, and other disabled civilians. Since national socialists always stressed the effectiveness of "pictures" for indoctrinating the population, this chapter highlights how important visual images of disability were during the Third Reich with respect to both propaganda and the appearance of disabled people in public. First, I discuss how national socialists claimed to honor disabled veterans as the "leading citizens of the nation" and orchestrated gatherings of these men on official occasions. Next I review the laws and practices that excluded people viewed as having hereditary diseases from the national

community as background for a detailed overview of Nazi propaganda directed against this group of disabled people. In the following section, about the disabled victims of sterilization and "euthanasia," I try to retrieve the voices of these individuals as much as possible. Then I examine how the official Nazi organizations for disabled civilians presented images of disability to the public and attempted to negotiate between collaboration and self-advocacy. The most difficult aspect of writing about disability during the Third Reich is to try to imagine how disabled people themselves experienced Nazi propaganda about them and Nazi policies whose purposes ran the gamut from rehabilitation to elimination. In many ways this is an impossible task, for indifference, neglect, censorship, or murder silenced their voices. Creative use of sources, however, enables the historian to uncover indications of how some disabled people reacted to Nazi propaganda and policies and even some noteworthy stirrings of resistance to stigmatization and exclusion.

From 1933 to 1945, it was only in exile that Germans could publicly continue to profess a commitment to universal human equality and advocate adequate support for poor, disabled, and ill people. Chapter 3 investigates several texts written in exile by opponents of Nazism. The philosopher Ernst Bloch critiqued rigid eugenic norms of health and insisted instead on remedying social injustices that were rooted in class inequalities. And in literary works published in exile a few authors (Bertolt Brecht, Maria Leitner, and Arnold Zweig) depicted disabled characters in ways that challenged exclusionary norms. These writers created utopian visions that conceived of disabled people as ordinary human beings, portrayed their social exclusion as caused by oppressive environments rather than inherent individual flaws, and even imagined them as positive heroes.

During the Third Reich, images of disability and illness constantly circulated as the Nazis attempted to define whom they considered to be inferior outsiders. Therefore, studying images of disability in postwar culture, as well as how disabled people appeared in the public sphere, is a crucial part of debates about continuities and transformations of Nazi ideology. The first part of chapter 4 analyzes disability in the art, literature, and films of the immediate postwar period. I compare representations of disability in several works of postwar literature and then contrast the first postwar German film, *Die Mörder sind unter uns* (The Murderers Are among Us, 1946), with the American film *The Best Years of Our Lives* (1946), using this as a case study for representations of disabled veterans in both countries. I then reinterpret the most scandalous postwar film, *Die Sünderin* (The Sinner, 1951) as a film about disability and a remake of the Nazi "euthanasia" film *Ich klage an* (I Accuse, 1941).

The second part of the chapter focuses on public controversies over cultural representations of disability, including sections on disabled veterans as victims or activists, rehabilitation rhetoric and early self-help efforts of physically disabled civilians, and the growing efforts to treat and represent people with mental impairments as individuals with human dignity rather than as "lives unworthy of life."

Chapter 5 presents three transitional representations of physical disability in (West) German film, literature, and media since the late 1960s. These illustrate how old representations of disability as nothing but negative metaphors began to break down and be replaced by more complex depictions. The film director Rainer Werner Fassbinder set out to break taboos but ended up re-creating stereotypes of disability in his film *Chinese Roulette* (1976). The dramatist Franz Xaver Kroetz rejected metaphors of disability in favor of depicting disabled characters who are simply ordinary human beings searching for happiness. Finally, in the debates over whether Wolfgang Schäuble could be chancellor while using a wheelchair and whether Franklin D. Roosevelt should be shown as disabled, the old view of disability as standing for shameful incompetence and weakness clashed with a newer perception that visibly disabled people could also be competent and powerful in a positive sense.

The relationship of socialist theory and practice to the body, and specifically to the disabled body, is a long, complex story. Chapter 6 investigates disability and socialist images of the human being in the culture of the German Democratic Republic (GDR) from two angles. Against the background of the difficult postwar years, early hopes for constructing a better Germany, and growing disillusionment with really existing socialism, I first show how many authors began to represent disability and illness in order to challenge the claim within socialist realism that collective and individual interests are identical. Using sources such as laws, official policy statements, and biographies and autobiographies of disabled GDR citizens, I then focus on locations assigned to disability in the socialist state in light of the importance attached to performance and the development of the "socialist personality." The socialist state provided many benefits to disabled people. Nevertheless, their experience of marginalization made many of them ready to participate in the popular movements of 1989, which demanded more democratic rights. Consequently, a specific, unresolved problem of disability within socialism was that of reconciling equality (based in economics) with freedom (based in human variation).

In chapter 7, I survey the emergence of disability rights, disability culture, and disability studies since the early 1970s in West Germany and reunified Germany. I highlight the efforts of disabled West Germans

to claim their civil rights, and I assess the state of disability rights in Germany up to the passage of the General Law on Equal Treatment in 2006. I give an overview of the multitude of disability culture projects in Germany, such as autobiographies, women's groups, theater and dance organizations, films, art, exhibitions, and so forth, which are all concerned with developing new forms of self-expression for embodied experience. Finally, I consider the similarities and differences between disability studies in Germany and the United States today. Just as disabled Germans have been increasingly successful in gaining civil rights, disabled scholars there are beginning to have a greater impact on setting the terms of debates about disability.

Chapter 8 shows how concepts of the "German" and the "American" appear as national subtexts in major biocultural and bioethical debates related to disability today. First, I discuss briefly how these debates in the United States refer to Germany almost solely through the negative example of the Nazi past. To illustrate the different ways in which knowledge about this past shapes these discussions, I then contrast the reactions in each country to the controversial philosopher and bioethicist Peter Singer. In German debates about bioethics, the United States frequently appears as a source of technological and scientific innovation, which some view as desirable and others criticize for neglecting ethical issues in favor of economic profits. I show how these conflicting views emerged in the so-called Philosophers' Debate of 1999-2000 over Peter Sloterdijk's speech "Rules for the Human Zoo," which considered the ethical limits of gene technology. Finally, in contrast to these mostly negative or ambivalent views of the United States, I discuss the current efforts in Germany to pass an antidiscrimination law as a biocultural debate that draws on a positive conception of the United States as a model for civil rights. In conclusion, I reflect on some of the lessons that Germans and Americans might learn from each other's histories in these areas.

Narratives about disability have the potential to illuminate the strength of norms within particular societies, for if told in the right way they must always reflect on both the individual and the societal responses to someone who disrupts assumptions about how the human body should function and thus about what it means to be a human being. Keeping this framework in mind, the brief memoir that serves as the concluding chapter has two main emphases. The first part recounts how I experienced West Germany during my first stay there in 1970–71 as a visibly disabled young American woman. I know of no other autobiographical text by a disabled American traveler to Germany. In the second part, I reflect on what it has been like for me as a disabled person to

become a university professor of German studies in the United States, to return to Germany over the years, and to develop a professional interest in disability studies. This approach hopefully connects my personal story with larger developments. One of these is the enormous transformation in Germany since 1945 from the Nazi view of many disabled people as "lives unworthy of life" to the current efforts to pass an anti-discrimination law. Another is the ongoing debate over the meanings of access and diversity in the United States. I finished this chapter some time ago, before writing the greater part of this book, and laid it aside. Upon rereading it, I found that it complements many of the issues addressed in the preceding chapters, and so I decided to take it out of the drawer and put it in the book. I hope the reader will view my story as a small building block in the much larger comparative cultural history of Germany and the United States.