Copyright (c) 2021, University of Michigan Press. All rights reserved.

INDEX

acronym, 4, 108, 118 active voice, xi, 125 advisor: as audience, 12, 44-45; communication with, xi; comprehensive examinations, 29; copyright, 35; feedback from, 46, 78, 98-99; publishing doctoral research, 88-89; use of terminology, xiv-xv analytical framework, 20 appendices, 84, 96 application, 82-83, 84 article critique, 36–37 assessment, x, 48, 82-83, 93 authorial voice: comprehensive examinations, 28; copyediting, 33; definition of, 124; disciplinary conventions, 125; formulaic writing, 77; metadiscourse, 70; non-standard English, 106–107 authorship, 88 background, 20, 50, 62–63, 64, 69, 70, 84, 115 blog post, 11, 13, 40–41, 92–93, 109, 125 booster, 4, 70 brainstorming, xvi, 46-47, 74-75, 128-129 capstone, 12, 93 caption, 38-39, 52 CARS (Create a Research Space) model, 62 case report, 20, 101 case write-up, 20 citation index. See journal citation index claim: analysis, 6; and argument structure, 8-9; in articles, 10; critique of, 37; data presentation, 38; in findings, 97; and metadiscourse, 4, 70-71; in outlines, 74; rationale, 90; rhetorical moves, 100; synthesis, 112; unsupported, 24–25; vignettes, 122; warrant, 126–127 closed access journal, 35 clause, 24, 32, 100, 102-103 co-authors, 26, 35, 45, 46, 88 compilation dissertation, 88. See also dissertation by publication concept mapping, 46 conceptual framework, 115 conference: abstracts, 2-3; academic progress reports, 94; audience, 13, 44; calls for, 40; plagiarism, 80; presentations, 44,

69, 80, 94, 118, 125; proceedings, 45, 67, 88; proposals, 56, 84-85 conventions: citation, 23; comprehensive examinations, 28; copyediting, 32; disciplinary, 107, 108–109, 125; genre, 56–58, 92, 100; literacy practices and, x, xiv, xv; and voice, 125 Creative Commons license, 35 critical commentary, xiv-xv, 36 database, 15, 68, 118 data commentary, 38–39 declarative statements, 118–119 defense. See oral defense definition (of academic terms), xi, 64–65, 70,80 descriptive grammar, 58 developmental editing, 32 disciplinary community, 12–13, 45, 64–65, 88 discussion board, 93 dissertation by publication, 45, 88 DOI (Digital Object Identifier), 10, 14, 16, 66 edited book, 16-17, 22, 81, 84, 88 editor: book, 16, 18; feedback, 32–33, 48,78, 98; journal, 66, 86–87 empirical research: abstract, 2; analysis 7; conclusion, 31; discussion section, 42; dissertation, 44; framing, 54; headings, 60; literature review, 68; methodology, 72–73; thesis, 116 endnotes, 50-51 ethos, 8 evaluation: book review, 18; in a critique, 36; journal ranking, 67; peer review, 79; portfolios, 83 evidence: in argument, 8–9, 105; in critique, 36-37; meta discourse, 70; outlining, 74; in portfolio, 82; in sentences, vignettes as, 122; visual aids, 52; warrant, 126–127 executive summary 3, 94

fellowship, 84–85 freewriting, 46, 74, 128–129

Copyright (c) 2021, University of Michigan Press. All rights reserved.

gist, 110 grant: audience for, 12; proposal, 56, 68, 84–86, 120; peer review, 78–79; report, 94 graphical presentation, 3, 11, 38–39, 41, 52, 56, 108, 113

handbooks, 16–17, 53 hedge, 4, 70–71

impact factor, 67 implications, 20, 30-31, 43 IMRD structure, 55, 60, 117 integral citation, 22-23 interrogatives, 118-119 intertextuality, 80 ISSN (International Standard Serial Number), 66 issue, journal, 22, 40, 66, 84

journal citation index, 67, 88 journal entry, 93

keywords, xi–xii, xiii, xv, xvi, 11

line editing, 32 logos, 8

magazine, 10, 19, 66 metalanguage, 70–71 mind maps, 47, 74, 128–129 monograph, 16–17, 88 multimodal formats, 12, 38, 74, 104, 108

narratives, 9, 20, 45, 68, 82, 93 newsletter, 10,13, 66 niche, 100 non-integral citation, 22–23

objectivity, 5, 108 open access journal, 35, 66–67, 87 oral defense, 28, 98

policymakers, 13, 30, 86 pragmatics, 58 predatory journal, 87 preliminary examination, 28 prescriptive grammar, 58 press release, 13 pre-writing, 46–47, 75, 128–129 procrastination, 128 pronouns: and cohesion, 24; first-person, 2, 41, 57, 70, 109, 125; possessive, 124; relative, 102; third-person, 108 proofreading, 32, 78, 98 publishing: articles, 11; books, 16-17; copyright, 34–35; doctoral research, 88–89; peer review,78-79; plagiarism, 81; process, 99, 128; and standard English, 106; style, 32, 50, 61, 118

qualifying examination, 28 quotation, 6, 18, 22, 53, 80, 122

ranking, 67, 88 readership, 12 reasoning, 100 reference books, 16, 104, 106 reference list, 14–15, 22–23, 51, 52–53 register, 4, 10, 12, 41, 108–109 reverse outline, 75, 98 review article, 10, 36, 63, 68, 104 reviewer: as audience, 12; book review, 19; edited book 16; feedback, 46, 48–49, 98–99; journal, 66; peer, 78–79 rigor, 16, 20, 69, 78

saturation, 14 self-archiving, 66 semantics, 58 signpost, 60 stance, 36, 58, 70-71, 124-125 style guide: citation, 22; footnotes, 51; headings, 60–61; standard English(es), 106-107; titles, 118; voice, 125 stress, 128 structure: abstract, 2–3, 57, 60; academic language, 4, 56; argument, 8; case study, 12–13; copyediting, 32–33; dissertation, 44-45; drafting process, 46; feedback, 49; of headings, 60; in introduction, 62; and metadiscourse, 70; outlining, 74-75; paragraph, 77; report, 95; revision, 98; sentence, 58–59, 102–103, 125; theses,

117; vignette, 122–123

Copyright (c) 2021, University of Michigan Press. All rights reserved.

subheading: coherence, 24; and headings, 60-61; methodology, 73; readability, 52; results/findings, 97; as rhetorical move, 100; style, 109; and titles, 118 supervisor. See advisor syntax, 6, 58, 102, 108

table, 38–39, 52, 80, 98, 112, 128 textbook, ix, 16, 86, 104 transitions, 24, 70–71, 76, 100–101 Twitter, 40–41

user license, 66

verbs: in academic language, 4, 40; in headings, 60; and hedging, 70; in sentence structure, 102-103; strength of claims, 8-9; tense, 2, 84, 97; varieties of English, 107; and voice, 125 viva. See oral defense volumes, 16, 22

website: audience, 40; bibliography, 14; copyright, 34–35, 66; plagiarism, 80; publisher, 10, 86; research findings, 13; as source, 104 white paper, 95 work for hire, 35 works cited, 14