```
abstract: as a part-genre, 17, 66, 71,
                                          139, 163-165; resource book for,
  163, 180-181; conference, 60,
                                          18, 68-71; views on students'
  66; disciplinary variations, 66,
                                          writing problems, 61, 183;
  111; dissertation, 71; lexico-
                                          with implicit genre knowledge,
                                          14-15. See also faculty super-
  grammatical features of, 41–42,
  60, 72-73, 109; moves in, 17, 41,
                                          visors
  66, 73, 110, 112; proposal, 73,
                                       Aitchison, C., 11, 13, 159-161,
  129; rhetorical purposes of, 109;
                                          167
  structured type, 60; teaching
                                       Allison, D., 101, 128, 148–149, 151
  materials for, 51, 59-60, 71, 109,
                                       applied linguistics, 2, 24, 28, 85,
  114, 131, 160–161, 195; writing
                                          134, 141, 146; data and, 82;
  tasks, 129, 131
                                          genre analysis and, 18; materials
Abstracts and the Writing of
                                          and, 67; novice instructors and,
  Abstracts (Swales and Feak), 51,
                                          26; thesis writing and, 71-72
                                       audience, importance of, 4-5, 46;
  59-60, 66, 111, 130
                                          as part of rhetorical context,
academic community, 13, 15,
  35-36. See also discourse com-
                                          38, 52-54, 74, 84, 162-163;
  munities
                                          students' awareness of, 127,
academic literacies, 13, 167
                                          141-48, 169, 182; in writing
academic request letter, 108
                                          tasks, 130-138, 163, 179
                                       awareness of genre, 3, 31, 46-50,
academic support genres, 17, 59,
                                          118, 121, 138; development
  63-64, 108-110, 114
Academic Writing for Graduate
                                          of, 158; learner-contributed
  Students (Swales and Feak),
                                          samples and, 76; materials and,
  51-60; disciplinary variations
                                          60, 65; rhetorical consciousness
  and, 65; evaluation and, 129-30;
                                          raising and, 44-48. See also
  genre analysis tasks and, 112;
                                          genre awareness
  introductions and, 63; journal
  articles and, 66; materials and,
                                       Baker, S. E., 9, 11, 61, 175–176,
  21, 67; rhetorical consciousness-
                                          182 - 183
  raising and, 33
                                       Basturkmen, H., 14, 17, 45, 88–89,
action research, 3, 186-188,
                                          101 - 104
  190-192, 194
                                       Bawarshi, A. S., 38, 39, 42, 52, 92,
advisor: as informants of disci-
                                          105
  pline-specific writing practices,
                                       Belcher, D., 35-36, 84, 156; advi-
  77-80, 174-176; mentoring
                                          sors, 171–73; discipline-specific
                                          knowledge base, 175; faculty
  students, 118, 166-173; rela-
  tions with writing specialists,
                                          interview questions, 176; genre
```

definition, 37–38; immersionbased learning, 14; knowledge, 83; learner-contributed samples, 81; non-classroom settings, 168–170; novice instructors, 25 Bitchener, J., 14, 18, 71–72 blogs, 10, 156, 165–166 book reviews, 17, 58, 62, 81, 130 Burgess, S., 8–9, 66, 165, 172, 180–82

Cargill, M., 20, 129–130, 136, 182–183; discipline-specific guidebooks and, 182; writing process and, 132

CaRS: as criteria to judge JA quality, 84; model, 59, 63, 197, 199; teaching and learning, 34, 67, 188, 190–191. *See* Creating a Research Space framework

Casanave, C. P., 9, 12–13, 35, 155–156, 159, 167–168, 192 citations, 10; as lexico-grammatical features, 17, 109; patterns, 62–63, 97–98, 125; students' comments on, 123–124; stu-

dents' difficulties with, 11; See also indexed journals

co-authorship, 179–180. See also collaborative writing

cognitive/communicative procedures, 107, 110–111, 114

collaborative writing, 131, 157, 162, 169; co-authorship and, 179–80; L1 graduate students and, 163; L1 students and, 165; thesis teams and, 164; writing camps and, 161

Commentary for Academic Writing for Graduate Students (Swales and Feak), 51, 64

communicative purposes, 40–43, 53–54; action research and,

187; applied linguistics and, 72; audience and, 137; conferencing and, 147; data commentary and, 56; discipline-specific knowledge base and, 175, 184-85; four dimensions of writing and, 144; genre awareness and, 46; genre definition and, 38; genre-specific features and, 184; grading rubrics and, 151; guided inductive analysis and, 91; introductions and, 134; organization and, 145; problemsolution pattern and, 54-55; research gaps and, 189; teacher facilitation and, 96-98, 101, 103

communities of practice, 12–13, 166–68

conclusion, in research writing: in abstracts, 41, 60, 71; in literature review, 62; as a part-genre, 17, 59, 69, 78, 117, 128, 133

conference proceedings, 66, 85 conferencing, 138–41, 143–47; logic and, 148–49. *See also* advisors

Consortium on Graduate Communication, the, 22, 166 corpus, 19, 21, 73, 75, 113, 156 Creating a Research Space framework (CaRS), 34, 59, 191, 197; introductions and, 63; materials and, 67; style and, 84

Creating Contexts: Writing Introductions across Genres (Swales and Feak), 51, 62–63, 66, 130

critiques, in research writing: as a characteristic of research writing, 5, 32, 69, 161; in CaRS, 190; in literature reviews, 61, 69; in students' writing 126, 144–146

critiques, 57–58, 67; four dimensions of writing and, 144; research defense and, 19; research gaps and, 190; teacher facilitation and, 96; writing camps and, 161
Curry, M.J., 5, 7–9, 11, 61

Curry, M.J., 5, 7–9, 11, 61

data commentary, 55-56, 58, 81-82 definition, in research writing, 52, 54, 130, 199 description of process, in research writing, 54-55 disciplinary expectations, 5, 9, 35, 43-44, 69-70, 80, 134, 174-175 discipline-specific features, 3, 129-153; applied linguistics and, 71; audience and, 135-138; communities of practice and, 168; conferencing and, 138-140; discovery-based analysis and, 121, 127; ESP approach and, 43; evaluation and, 131, 140-143, 148-149; four dimensions of tasks and, 129-133; genre analysis and, 39; genre awareness and, 3, 44-48; genre definition and, 38; grading rubrics for, 150-52; guidebooks and, 81, 178-182; introduction and, 134-135; knowledge base and, 173-177; learner-contributed samples and, 80, 85-86, 88; logic and, 149; materials and, 65; non-classroom settings and, 166; novice instructors and, 26, 28; novice writers and, 9-10; organization and, 144-147; personal relevance and, 35; research gaps and, 189; rhetorical consciousness raising and, 31-32; sociocultural networks and, 17; studies of, 176-177;

66-68; textual practices and, 123; thesis writing and, 68-71; vocabulary and, 5. See also multidisciplinary mix of students discourse communities, 36-37, 43, 61; course-specific materials and, 74; discipline-specific knowledge base and, 174-175; genre analysis and, 40; genre analysis tasks and, 112; grading rubrics and, 151; guided inductive analysis and, 105; non-classroom settings and, 171; rhetorical consciousness-raising and, 42. See also disciplinespecific features

style and, 83; textbooks and,

discovery-based analysis, 88, 113–27; assignments for, 115–19; definitions of, 114; Ling's case and, 121–24; Varnesh's case and, 124–27

discussions, in research writing:
as an instructional focus, 21,
32, 56, 58–59, 95, 101–103, 115,
117, 133, 180–181, 195; as a
part-genre, 17, 58–59, 63, 69, 78,
110, 181–183, 196; in dissertations or theses, 21, 69; in journal
articles, 95, 100–101, 117,
198

dissertation boot camps, 159 dissertations/theses: article compilation, 7; as an example of graduate-level research writing, 5–6, 8, 17, 43, 116, 119; discipline-specific features, 6, 81, 137, 183; examiners' report, 69; high/low quality, 69; pedagogical support for L1 speakers, 34, 159–163; pedagogical support for L2 speakers, 18–21, 34, 59, 62, 63, 68–72, 168–169; pressure

to write dissertations in English in L2 settings, 7; rhetorical contexts, 69; students' problems in writing, 9, 61, 175, 182–183

English for Academic Purposes (EAP), 3, 8, 18, 141, 191; genre definition and, 38; immersion-based learning and, 12, 14–15; L2 graduate students and, 154–158; methodology and, 88; producing materials for, 51; thesis writing and, 71

English for Specific Purposes (ESP), 1–2, 43; contextualization and, 39; data and, 82; four dimensions of writing and, 141–42; genre analysis and, 16, 40–41, 44; genre awareness and, 44; genre definition and, 38; guided inductive analysis and, 92; in-class explicit analysis and, 89; L1 students and, 165; methodology and, 88; rhetorical consciousness raising and, 34, 43, 68; sociocultural networks and, 17

Eriksson, A., 22, 25, 36, 66, 75, 77, 115, 132, 154, 161

faculty, in various disciplines, 4, 14–15, 20, 27, 66, 80, 81, 131, 161, 164, 171, 174–176, 183– 186. See also advisor; faculty supervisors

faculty supervisors, 14, 166–173.

See also advisor; faculty, in various disciplines

Feak, C.B., 33, 50–68; Abstracts and the Writing of Abstracts, 59–60; Academic Writing for Graduate Students, 52–53, 56–59; applied linguistics, 72;

audience, 136; Creating Contexts, 62–63; discipline-specific guidebooks, 179-180; discipline-specific knowledge base, 177; discovery-based analysis, 125; educationalization, 8; empirical data, 82; evaluation, 129-130; general-to-specific pattern, 103; genre analysis, 18; genre analysis tasks, 107, 112-113; goal-oriented activities, 109; guided inductive analysis, 92-93; implications of, 64-68; literature reviews, 99; materials on practice, 21; Navigating Academia, 63-64; novice writers, 11; problem-solution pattern, 54-55; research gaps, 190; teacher facilitation, 102, 104; Telling a Research Story, 61 - 62

Flowerdew, L., 21, 38; evaluation, 129, 131; grant proposal genre, 73–75; in-class explicit analysis, 88–89

Freeman, J., 5, 27, 45, 136, 140, 149, 158, 171, 176

gaps in research, 121–22, 188–91 general-specific, as a pattern in research writing, 54, 195 genre acquisition, 39, 47 genre analysis: approach to teaching research writing, 18, 45, 187–192; before writing, 131, 134; conceptual framework, 31, 46, 47, 118, 187; ESP approach, 15, 39–44, 68, 177; explicit, 88, 89; guided, 89, 104, 106, 115; inductive, 89, 93, 95, 104, 106, 115–18, 128; metacommunicating, 89; of one's own writing, 135, 138, 146–147; online, 20;

out-of-class, 113, 115–128; pedagogical framework, 90–105; rhetorically engaged, 121, 124; students' discovery-based analysis, 113, 115–128; three dimensions (context, organization, lexico-grammar), 40, 42–43, 46, 50, 53, 54, 60, 64–65, 68–69, 72–76, 86–89, 92, 95, 104–10, 114–15, 118–19, 146, 175, 177, 191; three traditions (ESP, New Rhetoric, Systemic Functional Linguistics), 38.

Genre Analysis (Swales), 32 genre awareness, 31, 39, 44–48, 53, 76, 106, 118, 138, 156. See also awareness of genre

genre, 4, 5, 29, 48; acquisition, 47; consolidating trends, 39; definitions,16, 38; disciplinary conversation, 4, 5; ESP approach, 16, 39, 40, 41, 165, 177; examples, 5, 17, 43, 62, 64; knowledge, 83; learnercontributed samples, 76–86; occluded, 63; teachability, 45; three traditions, 38. See also part-genres

genre-focused textbooks: examples, 18, 50–72; for different settings, 66; for self-study, 66, 67; values, 72

genre-specific features, in research writing: disciplinary variations, 26, 46–48; in students' writing, 134, 136, 138, 140, 143, 146; learners' noticing of, 121–124; teaching of, 17, 20, 31, 32, 34, 36–37, 43, 46–47, 78, 91, 99, 115, 161, 162

graduate-level research writing: course, 19; definition, 4; disciplinary enculturation, 13;

explicit instruction, 15; formal instruction, 6, 14, 18, 22; games, 13, 35; identities, 13, 167; immersion, 14, 15; instructional goals, 32; non-classroom-based learning, 14, 166-173; situated cognition, 13; sociocultural networks, 12; syllabi, 22; voice, 13, 167. See also scholarly writing guidebooks, on research writing, 6, 78, 80-81, 151-152, 177-178, 185. See also textbooks guided inductive analysis, 88, 96-99, 101-3; general questions sets and, 89-93; genre analysis tasks and, 113; rhetorical consciousness and, 104; teacher-

Gustafsson, M., 22, 25, 36, 66, 77, 115, 132, 154, 161

fronted analysis and, 94–95,

hedging, 17

high-status citation index, of academic journals, 8–9. *See also* Social Science Index

Hirvela, Alan R., 35, 158; Connecting Reading and Writing in Second Language Writing Instruction, 157

Hyland, K., 8, 10–11, 17, 34, 36–38, 45, 66, 75, 77, 97, 102, 136, 151, 154–155, 177

indexed journals, 7–8. See also citations in-house materials, 73–76 Introduction-Methods-Results-Discussion pattern (IMRD), 58, 81–82, 180

introduction, in journal articles: as a part-genre, 17, 58–59, 69, 84, 195–197, 199; disciplin-

ary specificity, 65; pedagogical materials and support, 37, 59–60, 62–63, 66–67, 78–79, 93–96, 116, 119–130; in dissertations and theses, 69, 71, 170; students' analyses, 120–124, 178–190; writing tasks, 130, 133–137, 141

Johns, A. M., 38, 40, 45, 47, 105, 174, 176

journal articles (JAs), 5, 7, 36, 63, 120, 182, 187; as an instructional focus, 66, 77, 115, 119, 132, 161, 187; graduation requirements, 7; importance of, 77; policies and incentives, 9; quality, 76–80, 83–84; recent, 78, 81

journals, academic, 7, 24, 151; English-medium international, 7, 9; exotic and diverse, 24, 85; high-status citation indexes, 8; internationally indexed, 7; opportunities for learning about, 85; Science Citation Index, 7; types of publications, 81; wellrespected, 77–79

Just Write camps, 159, 160–161

Karlsson, A., 22, 25, 36, 66, 77, 115, 132, 154, 161Kuteeva, M., 7, 17, 20, 25, 76, 81, 89, 156

L1 research writers: challenges, 10–11, 158; support and curriculum, 10, 12, 15, 21, 52, 158–66, 192; pressure to publish, 8

L2 writers, 71, 154; challenges, 9, 10, 11, 83; groups, 154; support and curriculum, 12, 154–55

L2 writing instructional approaches, 154–58; controversies, 156; reading-writing connections, 157–158; technologies, 156–157; writing-speaking connections, 157–158;

legitimate peripheral participation, 12–14, 167

letter of admittance, graduate school, 16, 41, 53, 54 letter of rejection, graduate school,

53, 54 lexico-grammatical features, 13, 19–21, 191; applied linguistics and, 71; audience and, 137; book reviews and, 58; communicative purpose and, 54; conferencing and, 139; corpus tools and, 156; course-specific materials and, 74; data commentary and, 56-57; disciplinary variations and, 66; discipline-specific knowledge base and, 173-175; discovery-based analysis and, 118, 121; fast method and, 125; genre analysis and, 17, 29, 40, 44; genre analysis sequencing and, 109; genre analysis tasks and, 110, 113; genre awareness and, 46; goal-oriented activities and, 109; grading rubrics and, 151-152; guided inductive analysis and, 91–92, 104–106; in-class explicit analysis and, 89; materials and, 60, 65; moves and, 41, 53, 63; problem-solution pattern and, 55; research gaps and, 190; rhetorical consciousness raising and, 42-43; self-analysis and, 138; teacher facilitation and, 97, 102–103; textbooks and, 50, 68. See also textual features

life sciences publishing, 178–82
Lindemann, S., 5, 19, 25, 36–37,
45, 75, 106, 112, 114, 148
literature review genre, 10, 61–62;
discovery-based analysis and,
121; four dimensions of writing and, 143–44; genre analysis
tasks and, 112; guided inductive
analysis and, 107; materials on
practice and, 19; organization
and, 145; rhetorical consciousness raising and, 33; teacher
facilitation and, 96, 99; thesis
writing and, 68–71

materials, 1, 50-86, 191; Abstracts and the Writing of Abstracts, 59-60; Academic Writing for Graduate Students and, 52-53, 56-59; applied linguistics and, 71-72; course-specific development of, 73-76; Creating Contexts, 62-63; discourse communities and, 78-80; ESP approach and, 43; genre analysis and, 44; genre awareness and, 3; genre samples and, 78-82; guidebooks for, 152; Navigating Academia, 63-64; novice instructors and, 28; pedagogical practice and, 18-22; problem-solution pattern and, 54-55; reference collections and, 76-78, 82-86; research gaps and, 190; Swales and Feak and, 64-68; Telling a Research Story, 61–62; Thesis and Dissertation Writing in a Second Language, 68-71

mentoring, 168, 171–73. See also advisors

metadiscourse, 32, 62, 81–82, 89; discipline-specific features and, 10 methodology, 6, 82–83; ESP and, 88; methods move and, 74 methods sections, 58, 152; discipline-specific guidebooks and, 180–81; discovery-based analysis and, 125–26 Min, Y., 21, 23–24, 26, 67, 68, 176,

185 moves, 19; action research and, 188; applied linguistics and, 71-72; audience and, 137; CaRS and, 59; communicative purpose and, 53-54; course-specific materials and, 73-74; discoverybased analysis and, 119-120, 122; five-move pattern and, 60, 109-10; gap-identification and, 121-122; genre analysis and, 16-17, 29, 41; goal-oriented activities and, 108-109; grading rubrics and, 151-152; guided inductive analysis and, 91; introductions and, 123, 134-135; lexico-grammatical features and, 58, 63; materials and, 65; materials on practice and, 20; problem-solution pattern and, 54-55; teacher facilitation and, 96-99, 101-102; thesis writing and, 69

multidisciplinary mix of students in research writing classes, the: challenges, 26, 28, 30, 44, 45, 150, 174, 176, 185; examples, 25, 26; reality, 25, 26, 28, 32; reasons, 26; pedagogical support and resources, 22, 32–36, 67, 111–114, 129, 150

Navigating Academia: Writing Supporting Genres (Swales and Feak), 51–59, 64, 67, 110 New Rhetoric approach, 39

novice academics, 4, 15, 20, 154.

See also novice research writers novice instructors, 6, 22–29, 36, 67, 86, 191–92; challenges, 22–23, 80, 176, 185; training and resources, 27, 67–68, 72–73, 76, 81, 85–86, 107, 140 novice research writers, 2, 9, 58, 182

novice researchers, 55, 181

O'Connor, P., 7, 10, 14, 20, 182–83 organizational patterns, in research writing, 42, 191; advisors and, 170, 172; audience and, 137; conferencing and, 138-40; corpus tools and, 156; discipline-specific knowledge base and, 173–75; discovery-based analysis and, 118, 120-21; four dimensions of writing and, 144; genre analysis and, 44; genre analysis sequencing and, 109; genre analysis tasks and, 110, 113; genre awareness and, 46; grading rubrics and, 151; guided inductive analysis and, 91-92, 104-6; immersion-based learning and, 13; in-class explicit analysis and, 89; literature review genre and, 145; materials and, 60, 65; moves and, 53; rhetorical consciousness-raising and, 43; self-analysis and, 138; style and, 84; teacher facilitation and, 96, 101-2; textbooks and, 50, 68; thesis writing and, 69; writing camps and, 161

Paltridge, B., 11, 13, 15, 17–18, 20, 25, 37–39, 43, 92, 95, 101–102, 132, 158–161, 164–165, 167, 178; applied linguistics, 72; blogs, 154–156; evaluation, 131–

132: Thesis and Dissertation Writing in a Second Language, 68 - 71part-genres, 10, 17; ESP approach and, 43, 44; evaluation, 131; guided inductive analysis, 90-92; introductions, 12 plagiarism, 57, 66, 156 practitioner-based articles, 172-73 problem-solution, as an organizational pattern, 54-55, 96-97, 99, professional associations, 78, 80 - 81proposals: as instructional focuses, 20, 69, 73-75, 119, 129, 131-32, 135; as research genres, 5, 17, 40, 43, 54, 62

reference collections, 3, 76–80, 82-86, 99, 115, 180; reference collections and, 80-83 reporting verbs, 9, 17, 62, 66, 97 research articles, 7, 17, 34, 54, 66, 76, 173; abstract, 17; introduction, 12. See also journal articles results or findings, in research writing, 14, 17, 41, 58, 60, 69-70, 110 rhetorical approach, 18, 37 rhetorical awareness, 5, 43, 60, 72, 158, 187, See also rhetorical consciousness raising rhetorical consciousness-raising, 2, 4,18, 31-37, 44, 48, 52, 60-61, 140, 149; as instructional goal, 32-34, 109, 131; definitions, 32, 37; 36; value, 32-32. See also rhetorical awareness rhetorical considerations, 45, 54, 139, 143-45, 147. See also rhetorical flexibility; rhetorical knowledge

rhetorical context, 61, 74, 109; as socio-rhetorical situations, of a part of raising rhetorical consciousness, 47, 52, 69; as part of 111-14 the three dimensions of genre analysis, 53, 60, 64–68, 86, 91-92, 98, 103-6, 110-15, 191; definitions, 42, 46, 69; students' awareness of, 127, 134-141, 191 167, 176 rhetorical effects, 32, 36-37, 54, 108, 114 rhetorical flexibility, 47 119 subtext, 35-36 rhetorical knowledge, 14, 42, 46, rhetorical moves, 19-20, 41, 53, 55, 60, 65, 69, 72, 74, 188 rhetorical organization, 21, 44, 46, 64–68, 74–75, 84, 110, 120, 137-38, 151, 170, 172; as part of the three dimensions of genre analysis, 43, 53-54, 65, 68-69, 72, 75-76, 86-89, 95, 107, 110, 118, 191; examples, 41, 56, 96-97, 101-102. See also rhetorical organizational pattern; rhetorical structure rhetorical organizational pattern, 16, 43, 53, 56–60, 70–75, 91–92, 96, 101, 104, 105-6, 109, 114, 173-74, 195 rhetorical purpose, 19, 40, 42, 54-58, 60, 71, 105, 108, 134-38, 144-45, 185-89 rhetorical structure, 32, 36–37, 40 rubrics, 150-153 scholarly writing, 4; anxieties about, 10; definition, 4; genres,

4 - 5situated learning, 12-13, 167 socio-rhetorical contexts/situa-

tions, 33, 38, 42, 46, 69, 95, 107, 111 - 14

multidisciplinary writing class, specific-general (SG) texts, 54 Starfield, S., 13-15, 18, 24-26, 34, 36, 66, 68-72, 75-76, 89, 92, 95, 101-2, 104, 132, 136, 158, 164, style, 83-84; advisors and, 170; discovery-based analysis and, summaries, in research writing, 56-62, 101, 163, 195, 198 Swales, J. M., 2, 19, 26, 50–68; Abstracts and the Writing of Abstracts, 59–60; Academic Writing for Graduate Students, 52-53, 56-59; applied linguistics, 72; audience, 136; CaRS framework, 190; conferencing, 148; course-specific materials, 76; Creating Contexts, 62-63; discipline-specific guidebooks, 178-180; discipline-specific knowledge base, 177; discoverybased analysis, 125; empirical data, 82; evaluation, 129-30; faculty interview questions, 176; general-to-specific pattern, 103; Genre Analysis, 32; genre analysis, 16, 18, 39-40; genre analysis tasks, 107, 110–213; genre definition, 37-38; goal-oriented activities, 108-29; guided inductive analysis, 92-93, 106; implications of, 64-68; literature reviews, 99; materials on practice, 21; Navigating Academia, 63–64; novice writers, 10-11; problem-solution

pattern, 54-55; research gaps,

190; Research Paper Writing, 19; rhetorical consciousness-raising, 31, 33–36; tasks defined by, 107; teacher facilitation, 102, 104; Telling a Research Story, 61–62 Systemic Functional Linguistics, 38

Tardy, C.M., 5, 13–15, 22–26, 28, 36, 42, 45, 67, 83, 90, 92, 105, 113, 166–68, 170–73, 175–76, 194

tasks, 1, 21, 107–13; cognitive/
communicative procedures in,
110–111; definition of, 107;
design of, 108–109; discoverybased analysis and, 115–119;
four dimensions of, 3, 129–133;
introductions and, 134–135;
Ling's case and, 120–124;
sequence of, 109–110; sociorhetorical context in, 111–113;
Varnesh's case and, 124–127

Tauber, D., 8, 14, 26, 140, 149, 163–164, 166, 171, 176

Teaching English to Speakers of Other Languages (TESOL), 2, 25–27, 67, 80–81, 130

teaching methodology, 88, 113 teaching points, 92–93, 95–99, 101–104, 107, 128, 177. See also pedagogical points

Telling a Research Story: Writing a Literature Review (Swales and Feak), 51, 61–62, 112

textbooks, 50–72; Abstracts and the Writing of Abstracts, 59–60; Academic Writing for Graduate Students and, 52–53, 56–59; applied linguistics and, 71–72; Creating Contexts, 62–63; genre analysis and, 18; genre analysis tasks and, 107; guided inductive analysis and, 93; Navigating Academia, 63–64; problemsolution pattern and, 54–55; Swales and Feak and, 64–68; Telling a Research Story, 61–62; Thesis and Dissertation Writing in a Second Language, 68–71. See also guidebooks

textual borrowing. *See* plagiarism textual features, 9, 44, 46, 70, 105, 123, 127. *See also* lexico-grammatical features

theses/dissertations, 4–6, 20, 68–71; advisors and, 169–72; applied linguistics and, 71–72; article-compilation and, 7; discipline-specific guidebooks and, 178, 183; faculty interview questions and, 175; independent consultation and, 163; non-classroom settings and, 168; proposals for, 69–71, 131–132, 169; structure of, 162; thesis teams and, 164; writing circles and, 158–61

Thesis and Dissertation Writing in a Second Language (Paltridge and Starfield), 68–71

Thompson, D. K., 58
Three Minute ThesisTM (3MT), 162-63, 165

Tierney, J., 12, 35–36, 45, 136, 139, 158

undergraduates, 1, 4–6, 55, 66, 150, 174–75, 178

Workshops: on Collaborative Interdisciplinary Publication Skills Education, 20, 181–182; on proposal writing, 21, 131;

on research writing, viii, 20, 22, 25, 27, 30, 44, 50, 56, 64, 120, 163-64, 186 writing camps, 158-60, 165, 192-93. See also Just Write camps writing center, 11, 159 writing groups, 158, 160-63, 165. see also Just Write camps; writing camps; writing retreats writing process: conferencing, 135, 138-39, 140-45, 147-49, 157, 171–73; brainstorming, 131, 160, 183; feedback, 14, 27-28, 34-35, 132, 150, 157, 163-64, 170-73, 175; group work, 131-2, 157, 160-62; peer responses,

132, 157, 161; pair work, 108, 131–32. writing retreats, 158, 160–62 writing specialists, 27, 139, 163–64, 176 writing studies, 2, 12, 15, 21, 28, 67 writing tasks, 140; construct validity, 136; discipline-specific nature, 129–130, 133, 136, 150, 174; for different audiences, 130–131, 141–142; four dimensions, 133–140, 146, 149, 153; interest, 138

Zawacki, T. M., 9, 11, 61, 165, 175–76, 182