Out of the Closets and into the Courts: Legal Opportunity Structure and Gay Rights Litigation by Ellen Ann Andersen
http://www.press.umich.edu/titleDetailDesc.do?id=17550 The University of Michigan Press, 2004

Index

Achtenberg, Roberta, 58
ACLU v. Echohawk, 152
Adam, Barry D., 17
Adams, William E., Jr., 147–48
Ad-Hoc Task Force to Challenge Sodomy Laws, 40, 41–42, 85–87, 96, 99, 106, 137, 248n. 45. *See also* Lambda Legal Defense and Education Fund
AIDS: cases and case law concerning, 12–13, 37–38, 43; history of, 42, 112; as justification for discrimination against lgb people, 43, 78–81, 242n. 26, 248n. 34; legislative response to, 40, 150–52; relationship between AIDS and gay rights, 3, 43–44, 46, 51, 142, 150–51
Alaska, 191–94, 197–99; cases and case law concerning gay rights, 12, 175, 183–84; initiatives and referenda concerning gay rights, 144; laws and legislation concerning gay rights, 183–84, 198. See also *Brause and Dugan v. Bureau of Vital Statistics*
Altman, Dennis, 24
Alumbaugh, Steve, 75
Amendment 2. *See* Colorado, Amendment 2
American Civil Liberties Union (ACLU), 47, 83, 85, 111, 178, 186–87; gay rights cases, 4, 52, 62, 81, 87, 96, 104, 107, 137–38, 150–52; Lesbian and Gay Rights Project, 40, 41, 47, 85, 122, 125–31, 160; New York Civil Liberties Union, 28, 34, 36, 37, 41; other regional affiliates, 22, 41, 47, 65, 82–83, 84–85, 96, 101, 110, 160; position on homosexuality, 18, 22; relationship with Lambda, 28, 34, 36, 41, 52, 56–57
American Law Institute (ALI), 19–20, 62, 238n. 9
American National Election Study (ANES), 114–17, 251n. 15
American Psychiatric Association, 55, 71
American Psychological Association, 55, 103
Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), 112
Antigay initiatives and referenda, 3, 52, 124, 143–49; political campaigns to enact, 152–59; state ballot requirements, 149–50, 154; types of, 147–49. See also *Citizens for Responsible Behavior v. Superior Court;* Colorado, Amendment 2; *Romer v. Evans*
Appeal in Pima County Juvenile Action B-10489, 93
Arizona, 54, 93, 98, 144–45, 147
Arkansas, 98, 105, 111, 129–30

Baehr v. Anderson. See *Baehr v. Lewin*
Baehr v. Lewin: decision, 52–53, 180–81; facts, 178; impact of, 53, 178–83, 187–88, 194–96, 199–200, 217–18; litigation of, 178
Baehr v. Miike. See *Baehr v. Lewin*

Out of the Closets and into the Courts: Legal Opportunity Structure and Gay Rights Litigation by Ellen Ann Andersen
http://www.press.umich.edu/titleDetailDesc.do?id=17550 The University of Michigan Press, 2004

Baker v. Nelson, 175, 212
Baker v. State of Vermont: decision, 53, 189; dissenting opinion, 190; impact of, 53–54, 176, 181, 187–88, 196–97, 199, 258n. 35; litigation of, 184–86; majority opinion, 185, 190
Baker v. Wade, 53–54, 64, 70–72, 81, 85–86, 93, 96, 247n. 30
Banaszak, Lee Ann, 6
Baum scores, 77
Bay Area Network of Gay & Lesbian Educators v. City of Concord, 145
Blackmun, Harry, 77, 87–89, 163
Bonauto, Mary, 184, 257n. 26
Boutilier v. Immigration and Naturalization Service, 17
Bowers v. Hardwick: Burger opinion, 44, 98; criticism of, 90–91, 94, 103–4; decision, 4, 59, 74, 98, 124–25; facts, 64, 84, 135; impact of, 44–46, 59, 92–97, 98, 103–4, 217, 246n. 25; litigation of, 84–92; majority (White) opinion, 4, 44, 74, 91, 98, 120, 123; overturn of, 98–99, 121, 134–35; Powell opinion, 89–90, 101
Boy Scouts of America v. Dale, 49, 131–33
Brause and Dugan v. Bureau of Vital Statistics: decision, 183–84; impact of, 183–84, 187–88, 194, 199; litigation of, 183, 189
Brennan, William, 66, 76–77, 87–88, 163, 211–12
Breyer, Stephen G., 126–27, 163
Brown v. Board of Education, 14, 25
Bryant, Anita, 35
Burger, Warren E., 44, 76–77, 87–89, 98, 163
Burns v. Burns, 258n. 35
Burstein, Paul, 6
Bush, George W., 133
Button, James W., 6, 35, 193

California, 63, 144, 150–51
Cameron, Paul, 79, 247n. 33
Campbell v. Sundquist, 104–5, 113
Canon, Bradley C., 201
Carey v. Population Services, 67, 88–89
Carter, Jimmy, 75
Cathcart, Kevin, 47, 52, 131
Cayetano, Ben, 194
Christensen v. Georgia, 105–10
Christian Coalition, 180
Citizens for Responsible Behavior v. Superior Court, 144, 150–51
City of Dallas v. England, 102, 105
City of Topeka v. Movsovitz, 105
Civil rights movement, 3, 24–25
Civil unions. *See* Vermont, laws and legislation concerning gay rights
Clackum v. United States, 18
Clarkson, Kevin G., 194
Clayton, Cornell W., 235n. 7
Clendinen, Dudley, 98
Clinton, Bill, 51–52, 180, 243n. 48
Coles, Matt, 47
Collective action frames, 23–24
Collins v. Commonwealth, 144
Colorado: Amendment 2, 3, 52, 124, 137, 143, 146–49, 152, 154–62, 164–73, 211–13; initiatives and referenda concerning gay rights, 35, 52, 143–44; laws and legislation concerning gay rights, 35, 152–53, 168–69. *See also* Antigay initiatives and referenda; Colorado Legal Initiatives Project; *Romer v. Evans*
Colorado for Family Values (CFV), 153–59, 170–71, 211–13. *See also* Colorado, Amendment 2
Colorado Legal Initiatives Project, 52, 146, 160. *See also* Colorado, Amendment 2; *Romer v. Evans*
Concerned Women for America, 154
Connecticut, 60, 63
Cook, Gareth G., 158
Coolidge, David Orgon, 194
Cortner, Richard C., 5
Costain, Anne, 6
Courts, endogenous and exogenous constraints on, 5
Cowan, Ruth, 4
Coyle, Marcia, 138
Cultural contradictions, 8

Out of the Closets and into the Courts: Legal Opportunity Structure and Gay Rights Litigation by Ellen Ann Andersen
http://www.press.umich.edu/titleDetailDesc.do?id=17550 The University of Michigan Press, 2004

Dailey, John Daniel, 166
Dallas Doctors against AIDS, 79
Dean, Howard, 186, 196
Defense of Marriage Act (DOMA), 119, 180, 184, 192, 200, 202. *See also* Same-sex marriage
D'Emilio, John, 17, 24
DePriest v. Virginia, 105
District of Columbia (D.C.), 60, 98, 122–23
Doe v. Commonwealth's Attorney, 29, 65–68, 69, 70, 72, 84, 96
Doe v. Reilly, 105
Doe v. Ventura, 105
Douglas, William O., 20–21, 66, 70
Douglass, David, 155
Duberman, Martin, 24
Dubofsky, Jean, 158–60
Dudal v. Codd, 65
Duncan, William C., 194
Dunlap, Mary, 122, 180

Eisenger, Peter K., 6, 9
Eisenstadt v. Baird, 21–22, 120
Elections, impact of, 51–52, 75–78, 81–82, 87, 133, 247n. 30
Enslin v. North Carolina, 29
Epp, Charles R., 5
Epstein, Lee, 5, 76, 126, 163, 209
Equality Foundation of Greater Cincinnati v. City of Cincinnati, 145
Equal protection, right of: and level of scrutiny, 166–67, 169, 178; and sodomy, 66, 68–72, 78, 102–3, 110, 126–29, 137, 252n. 30; under federal Constitution, 2, 78, 93, 110, 124, 126, 137, 164; under state constitutions, 101–4, 110, 126, 129–30
Eskridge, William N., 5, 194, 196, 198, 255n. 3
Essoyan, Susan, 191
Ettelbrick, Paula, 177, 179, 255–56n. 4

Faderman, Lillian, 18
Faipeas v. Anchorage, 144
Family Research Council, 180
Farley, Paul, 166
Fishers v. Virginia, 105
Florida: cases concerning gay rights, 139; initiatives and referenda concerning gay rights, 35, 52, 144–45, 151–52; laws and legislation concerning gay rights, 35, 139
Focus on the Family, 56, 153–54, 180
Foley, Dan, 178–79
Fourteenth Amendment. *See* Equal protection, right of
Frame alignment, 6–8
Freiberg, Peter, 42, 47
Frickey, Philip P., 5
Frontiero v. Richardson, 166, 211–12

Galanter, Marc, 5, 188, 209, 213
Gallup polls, 116–18, 158, 201, 250n. 15
Gamble, Barbara, 143
Gamson, William, 7, 11
Gay Activists Alliance, 1
Gay and Lesbian Advocates and Defenders (GLAD), 19, 34, 41, 47, 53, 122, 140, 184, 186–87, 197
Gay people. *See* Lesbian, gay, and bisexual (lgb) people
Gay rights, arguments against: defense of marriage, 66, 69, 74, 120, 124, 170–71; deviance of sexual practices, 33, 65, 69, 71, 80–81, 90, 104, 112–13, 155; harm to children, 33, 35, 54, 74, 104, 113, 124, 155, 160, 171; homosexuality as lifestyle choice, 156, 160–61, 171; lgb people as illegitimate minority, 156–57, 161, 169, 172, 212; majoritarian morality, 17, 19–20, 44, 69, 71, 98, 104, 112, 114–15, 123–24, 137, 141, 154–56; preservation of traditional families, 116–17, 119–20, 124, 155, 160, 170–71; promotion of procreation, 35, 71, 74, 120; public health (includes AIDS), 43, 71, 78–81, 112–14, 119, 155–56, 171, 242n. 26, 248n. 34; religious liberty, 160, 170; special rights, 152, 155, 156–59, 160, 172–73

Out of the Closets and into the Courts: Legal Opportunity Structure and Gay Rights Litigation by Ellen Ann Andersen
http://www.press.umich.edu/titleDetailDesc.do?id=17550 The University of Michigan Press, 2004

Gay rights, arguments for: animus as illegitimate rationale for discriminatory laws, 138, 161, 165; Eighth Amendment, 66, 104; equality, 68–70, 72, 78, 101–6, 110, 151, 160, 164; First Amendment, 66, 160; irrationality of discriminatory laws, 165, 167–68; lgb people as legitimate minority, 161; privacy, 32–33, 58, 66, 68–70, 72, 78, 84, 86, 87–92, 99–106, 110; protection of families, 111; sexuality as core aspect of identity, 161, 171
Gay rights, opponents of, 35–36, 43, 52, 55–56, 81, 143, 146–49, 152–59, 180–82, 184, 192. *See also* Gay rights, arguments against
Gay Rights Advocates, 19, 34, 82–83
Gay rights laws, 31, 35, 40, 49, 54, 147, 149
Gay rights litigation: early cases, 17–18, 25; emergence of organized litigation, 19–26; impact of, 140; scope of claims, 3, 25, 37–40, 47–49
Gay rights movement: and the civil rights movement, 3, 24; and the emergence of organized litigation, 19–26; impact of Stonewall on, 23–25, 29; increasing centrality of movement claims, 51–52; position of Democratic party and candidates, 51, 55, 193, 225n. 15; position of Republican party and candidates, 36, 51, 180, 193; tensions over priorities, 38–39, 42, 177–78, 255nn. 3–4; and the women's movement, 3, 24
Gay Student Services v. Texas A&M University, 79
Gay Students Organization of the University of New Hampshire v. Bonner, 29, 31–32
General Social Survey (GSS), 114, 116
Georgia: cases concerning gay rights, 60, 98, 101, 105–6; laws and legislation concerning gay rights, 65, 107. See also *Bowers v. Hardwick; Christensen v. Georgia; Powell v. State*
Gerstmann, Evan, 156, 158–59, 165, 173
Gillman, Howard, 235n. 7
Ginsburg, Ruth Bader, 126–27, 163
Goffman, Erving, 7
Goldberg, Arthur J., 21–22, 66
Goldberg, Suzanne B., 35, 158, 165, 172
Goldberg-Hiller, Jonathan, 56
Goldstein, Anne B., 84, 123
Goodridge v. Department of Public Health, 53–54, 138
Gordon v. State, 101
Gostin, Lawrence, 43
Graff, Christopher, 191
Greenberg, Jack, 4, 5
Griswold v. Connecticut, 20–22, 30, 32, 62, 69, 92, 120, 136
Gryczan v. State of Montana, 105, 111, 113–14, 119

Haider-Markel, Donald P., 154
Halley, Janet, 90–91, 123
Handler, Joel, 5, 209
Harkavy, Ward, 153
Hawaii, 191–99; cases concerning gay rights, 52, 175, 177; laws and legislation concerning gay rights, 178–81, 183, 198; Reciprocal and Beneficiaries Act, 180–83, 195. See also *Baehr v. Lewin*
Herman, Didi, 56, 210, 217
Hertzog, Mark, 193
High Tech Gays v. Defense Industry Security Clearance Office, 93
Hitchens, Donna J., 33
Homosexuality. *See* Lesbian, gay, and bisexual (lgb) people
Honeycutt v. Malcolm, 28
Horowitz, Donald L., 14, 201
Hudson, Rock, 43, 97
Hunter, Nan, 43, 63
Hunter v. Erickson, 164–65
Hurley v. Irish-American Gay, Lesbian, and Bisexual Group of Boston, 123–24, 163–64

Out of the Closets and into the Courts: Legal Opportunity Structure and Gay Rights Litigation by Ellen Ann Andersen
http://www.press.umich.edu/titleDetailDesc.do?id=17550 The University of Michigan Press, 2004

Idaho, 145, 148, 152
Illinois, 54, 63
Immigration and Nationality Act of 1952, 17
Indiana, 54
In Matter of Petition of C.M.A./ In Matter of Petition of M.M. & J.S., 54
In re Advisory Opinion to the Attorney General, 145, 151–52
In re Thom, 1–2

James v. Valtierra, 165
Jegley v. Picado, 105, 111, 121, 129–30
Jenkins, J. Craig, 11
Johnson, Charles A., 201

Kahn, Ronald, 5
Kairys, David, 174
Kansas, 35, 105, 139, 144
Keen, Lisa, 35, 158, 165, 172
Kennedy, Anthony M., 75–77, 118–19, 126–27, 133, 135–36, 163, 252n. 30
Kentucky, 60, 98, 105. See also *Kentucky v. Wasson*
Kentucky v. Wasson, 60, 100–104, 105, 112–13
Kitschelt, Herbert, 6, 9
Kluger, Richard, 5
Knight, Jack, 76, 126, 163, 209
Kobylka, Joseph Fiske, 5, 50
Krackov, Andrew, 158
Kriesi, Hanspeter, 7, 10
Krislov, Samuel, 5

Lambda Legal Defense and Education Fund (Lambda): budget, 28, 36, 44–47; cases, 2, 29–32, 37–40, 47–49, 53–54, 58–59, 65, 69, 81, 95, 101–4, 109–11, 125, 128–34, 146, 150–52, 160–61, 164–72, 240n. 3, 248n. 34, 258n. 35; coordination with other gay rights organizations, 29, 34, 35, 40–42, 52, 125–26, 150–51, 160, 184–87; history of, 1–2, 19, 26, 27–31, 36–40, 46–51; litigation priorities, 28–32, 37, 42–43, 46–49, 52–53, 56, 59, 179, 184–85; litigation strategies, 82–83, 99–103, 106, 109–11, 120–29, 131, 133–34, 150–52, 160, 164–72, 184–85, 192, 248n. 39; Marriage Project, 50, 53, 179–80, 184, 197; Marriage Resolution, 55; nonlitigation activities, 37, 40, 50, 51–53, 55, 94, 140, 179–80, 214–15; organizational resources, 28–31, 34, 36, 42–43, 46–47, 50, 100, 122; participation as amicus curiae, 29, 49–50, 59, 103, 104, 109–11, 138, 178, 248n. 39; participation as counsel, 49–50, 59, 69, 101–3, 111, 128–31, 160; staff, 28–29, 36–37, 46–47; status within the network of organized litigators, 1, 19, 22, 34, 37, 40–41, 46–47; tensions over tactics, 82–83, 84–86, 126–28, 131, 139, 177–78, 254n. 25. *See also* Ad-Hoc Task Force to Challenge Sodomy Laws; Litigators' Roundtable
Langan v. St. Vincent's Hospital, 258n. 35
Lawrence, Susan, 5
Lawrence v. Texas: decision, 4, 58, 98–99, 105, 134; facts, 128–29, 135; impact of, 138–40, 216; litigation of, 121, 130; majority opinion (Kennedy), 4, 58, 118–19, 135, 252n. 30; O'Connor concurrence, 119, 136–37; Scalia dissent, 119, 136; Thomas dissent, 136
Legal opportunity structure (LOS): access as an element of LOS, 9–10, 204–7; access to courts in context of gay rights, 64–68, 87–92, 99–100, 111, 127–31, 133, 141, 149–52, 191–93, 204–7; alliance and conflict systems as an element of LOS, 11–12, 209–10; alliance systems surrounding gay rights, 22, 34, 40–42, 55, 209–10; configuration of power as an element of LOS, 10–11, 207–9, 220n. 13; configuration of power in context of gay rights, 19–20, 76–77, 80–83, 88, 103, 123, 126–27, 133–34,

Out of the Closets and into the Courts: Legal Opportunity Structure and Gay Rights Litigation by Ellen Ann Andersen
http://www.press.umich.edu/titleDetailDesc.do?id=17550 The University of Michigan Press, 2004

Legal opportunity structure (LOS) (*continued*)
161–64, 189–90, 207–9; conflict systems surrounding gay rights, 35–36, 55–56, 209–10; cultural frames as an element of LOS, 12–14; cultural frames surrounding gay rights, 23–25, 42–44, 46, 51, 74, 78–81, 96–97, 112–21, 125, 136–38, 141, 201; definition of, 9; legal frames as an element of LOS, 12–14; legal frames surrounding gay rights, 22, 31–34, 44, 46, 51–54, 67, 74, 92–95, 99–103, 106, 125, 138–40, 164–68, 178–79, 185, 198; relationship between cultural and legal frames, 13–14, 57, 137–38, 172–74, 200, 210–13; relationship between LOS and POS, 141–42, 146–47, 161, 173–74, 176, 184, 188; relationship between LOS and social movement actors, 9, 56–57, 95–97, 99, 140–41, 200, 214–16; structure of the legal system as an element of LOS, 140–41, 213–14
Leonard, Arthur, 195
Lesbian, gay, and bisexual (lgb) people: judicial beliefs about, 19–20, 32–33, 44, 54, 81, 90, 98, 112–13, 132, 135, 163–64; public opinion about, 35, 43, 52, 114–21, 156, 158–59, 191–92, 201, 211, 251n. 15; sociolegal position of, 2–3, 17–19, 31–34, 46, 114–21, 203–4, 236–37n. 1; use of terminology in this book, 235n. 2. *See also* Gay rights, arguments against
Lesbian/Gay Law Notes, 195
Lesbian Rights Project, 19, 34, 47. *See also* National Center for Lesbian Rights (NCLR)
Levy, Barbara, 28
Lipsky, Michael, 235n. 9
Litigation: campaigns, 4–5; consequences of, 15, 44–46, 52–53, 59, 92–95, 98, 103–4, 124, 145, 176, 184, 186–88, 190, 199–202, 216–18, 246n. 25; and good/bad facts, 30; and mobilization of opposition, 15, 178–84, 187; power to effect social reform, 14–15, 174, 200–202, 255n. 38; as a tactic to effect social reform, 16, 19, 22, 25
Litigators' Roundtable, 41, 42, 121, 131, 184, 209. *See also* Lambda Legal Defense and Education Fund
Little, Thomas, 196
Lofton v. Secretary of the Department of Children and Family Services, 139
Louisiana, 101, 104, 105, 181
Louisiana Electorate of Gays and Lesbians Inc. v. State of Louisiana, 105
Loving v. Virginia, 104

Maine, 52, 144–45
Maltzman, Forrest, 235n. 7
Manwaring, David Roger, 4
March, James G., 235n. 6
Marco, Tony, 153, 157, 172
Marcus, Eric, 18, 23
Marcus, Ruth, 90, 135
Marshall, Thurgood, 66, 76–77, 87–89, 163
Maryland, 68, 98, 105, 129
Massachusetts: cases and case law concerning gay rights, 53–54, 98, 105, 138–39; initiatives and referenda concerning gay rights, 52, 144, 149. See also *Goodridge v. Department of Public Health; Hurley v. Irish-American Gay, Lesbian, and Bisexual Group of Boston*
Matlovich v. Secretary of the Air Force, 28
McAdam, Doug, 6, 7, 10
McCann, Michael W., 15, 174, 200, 202
McCarthy, John D., 9, 11
McCarthy hearings, 17–18
McDonald Amendment, 36
Meier, Kenneth, J., 154
Meltsner, Michael, 4, 5
Merrick v. Bd. of Higher Ed., 145

Out of the Closets and into the Courts: Legal Opportunity Structure and Gay Rights Litigation by Ellen Ann Andersen
http://www.press.umich.edu/titleDetailDesc.do?id=17550 The University of Michigan Press, 2004

Merry, Sally Engle, 174
Meyer, David S., 6, 7, 11, 56
Michaelson, Sherryl E., 63
Michigan, 60, 68, 145. See also *Michigan Organization for Human Rights v. Kelley*
Michigan Organization for Human Rights (MOHR), 101
Michigan Organization for Human Rights v. Kelley, 101–2
Minnesota: cases and case law concerning gay rights, 98, 105, 175, 212; initiatives and referenda concerning gay rights, 35, 144; laws and legislation concerning gay rights, 35
Missouri: cases and case law concerning gay rights, 12, 81, 93; initiatives and referenda concerning gay rights, 145, 147; laws and legislation concerning gay rights, 63
Model Penal Code, 19–20, 62–63, 72–73, 96, 142, 238n. 9
Mohr, Richard D., 58
Montana, 98, 105, 113
Morris v. Hill, 145
Murray, Susan, 184–85, 197, 257n. 26, 258n. 43

NAACP Legal Defense and Educational Fund, 1, 25, 55
Nabozny v. Podlesny, 49
Nagourney, Adam, 98, 180
National Association of Social Workers, 55
National Center for Lesbian Rights (NCLR), 47, 140, 186–87
National Council of La Raza, 55
National Gay Task Force v. Board of Education of Oklahoma, 82–83, 88
National Legal Foundation, 154, 172
National Organization for Women, 55
Nebraska, 187
Nevada, 98, 122–23, 145
New Hampshire, 93–94
New institutionalist approaches, 5–6, 235n. 7
New Jersey, 49, 54, 131–32
New York: cases concerning gay rights, 1–2, 32, 65, 68–70; laws and legislation concerning gay rights, 1–2, 37, 40, 65. See also *New York v. Uplinger; People v. Onofre*
New York Civil Liberties Union. *See* American Civil Liberties Union (ACLU)
New York v. Uplinger, 88

Obuchowski, Michael, 196
O'Connor, Karen, 239n. 20
O'Connor, Sandra Day, 75–78, 87–88, 119, 123, 126–27, 133, 136–38, 163
Ohio, 144–45, 147
Oklahoma, 82–83, 104, 105
Olson, Johan P., 235n. 6
Opinion of the Justices, 93–94, 138–39
Oregon, 35, 52, 143–45, 147–49
Oregon Citizens Alliance, 154–55
Organizational resources, importance of, 4–5

Padula v. Webster, 93
Patton, Cindy, 56
Pennsylvania, 68
People v. Onofre, 32, 64, 68–70, 81, 96
Perkins, Will, 157–58
Perrow, Charles, 11
Perry, H. W., Jr., 10
Planned Parenthood v. Casey, 76
Plessy v. Ferguson, 45, 94
Political opportunity structure (POS): access, 7, 9, 149–52, 204; allies, 7, 11, 209; configuration of power, 7, 10, 193–99, 207; cultural frames, 8, 12, 181, 201, 210; definition of, 6–7; relationship between POS and LOS, 141–42, 146–47, 161, 173–74, 176, 184, 188; relationship between POS and social movement actors, 197–98, 200; underlying political culture, 7
Powell, Lewis, 76–77, 87–90, 101, 123, 135–36, 163
Powell v. State, 60, 105–10
Price, Barbara L., 33

Out of the Closets and into the Courts: Legal Opportunity Structure and Gay Rights Litigation by Ellen Ann Andersen
http://www.press.umich.edu/titleDetailDesc.do?id=17550 The University of Michigan Press, 2004

Princeton Survey Research Associates, 201, 251n. 15
Prindle v. State, 62
Privacy, right of: and abortion, 75, 88–89, 95; and sodomy, 30, 32–33, 45, 66, 68–70, 72, 78, 87–92, 99, 135–36, 252n. 30; under federal Constitution, 13, 20–22, 78, 92, 93, 99, 126, 134–35; under state constitutions, 101–8, 110, 123, 126, 129–30. *See also* Sodomy statutes, legal challenges to
Puerto Rican Legal Defense and Education Fund, 1–2, 55
Puerto Rico, 105, 130. See also *Sanchez v. Puerto Rico*

Racine, Doug, 196
Reagan, Ronald, 75–76, 82
Rehnquist, William, 75–77, 87–89, 123, 126, 132–33, 136, 163
Rhode Island, 98, 104–5
Rich v. Secretary of the Army, 70
Rienzo, Barbara Ann, 35, 193
Riggle, Ellen D. B., 193
Rights discourse, 24–25
Rimer, Sara, 43
Rinehart, Steve, 191
Rivera, Rhonda, 19, 32, 58
Riverside Citizens for Responsible Behavior, 150
Robinson, Beth, 184–85, 197, 257n. 26, 258n. 43
Robinson v. California, 90
Roe v. Wade, 13, 14, 21, 67, 76, 88
Romer v. Evans: decision, 124, 146; facts, 143; impact of, 52, 124, 145, 216; litigation of, 55, 146, 159–61, 165; majority opinion (Kennedy), 44, 124, 127, 146; Scalia dissent, 124– 25, 136, 160–62. *See also* Anti-gay initiatives and referenda
Rosenberg, Gerald N., 14–15, 200, 202, 217–18
Rowland, C. K., 75
Rubenfeld, Abby, 37, 39, 41, 85, 94, 104
Rubenstein, William B., 177
Rubin, Eva, 5, 9
Rucht, Dieter, 9
Ruggie, Mary, 6

Salvation Army v. Koch, 40
Same-sex marriage: in Canada, 203–4; Defense of Marriage Act, 53, 119, 200; as distinct from civil unions, 186; governmental benefits associated with marriage, 176–77, 186; legal challenges, 212, 257n. 26; public opinion on, 116–18, 191; state constitutional amendments, 181, 183–84, 187–88, 199–200; state statutes expressly prohibiting, 53, 119, 181–84, 187, 192, 200, 202. See also *Baehr v. Lewin; Baker v. State of Vermont; Brause and Dugan v. Bureau of Vital Statistics; Goodridge v. Department of Public Health*
Sanchez v. Puerto Rico, 105, 130, 251n. 23
Sawatzky v. City of Oklahoma City, 104–5, 110
Sawyers, Traci M., 56
Scalia, Antonin, 75–77, 119, 124–25, 126, 133, 136, 161–64
Schacter, Jane S., 157
Scheingold, Stuart A., 24, 202, 213
Scheppele, Kim Lane, 174, 210
Schmalz, Jeffrey, 51, 158
Schultz, David, 15
Schwartz, Herman, 75
Segal, Jeffrey, 76, 163, 209
Servicemembers Legal Defense Network (SLDN), 47, 138
Shapiro, Joseph P., 158
Shilts, Randy, 63, 66
Skocpol, Theda, 5
Smart, Carol, 174
Smith, Rogers, 5, 235n. 7
Snow, David E., 8
Sodomy statutes: gender-neutral laws, 60–62, 69, 104; indirect harms of, 64, 103, 111, 128; as justification for dis-

Out of the Closets and into the Courts: Legal Opportunity Structure and Gay Rights Litigation by Ellen Ann Andersen
http://www.press.umich.edu/titleDetailDesc.do?id=17550 The University of Michigan Press, 2004

crimination against lgb people, 4, 17–18, 31–32, 39; legal challenges to, 4, 25, 32, 64–72, 98–111, 121, 128–38; legislative repeal of, 63, 72, 80, 98, 104, 122–23; and the Model Penal Code, 19–20; nonenforcement of, 64–65, 84, 87, 89–90, 110–11, 128; same-sex-only laws, 60, 63, 71, 104, 110, 111, 128; and standing, 64–65, 70, 84, 87–92, 102, 110–11, 128, 130, 141. See also *Baker v. Wade; Bowers v. Hardwick; Lawrence v. Texas; Powell v. State*
Sorauf, Frank, 4, 5
Souki, Joe, 194
Souter, David H., 124, 126–27, 163
Spaeth, Harold J., 76, 163, 209
Specter, Michael, 43
Spriggs, James F., 235n. 7
Staggenborg, Suzanne, 11
Stanley v. Georgia, 21
State of Louisiana v. Baxley, 104–5
State of Louisiana v. Mills, 101
State of Louisiana v. Neal, 101
State of Louisiana v. Smith, 105
State of Rhode Island v. Lopes, 104–5
State of Texas v. Morales, 104–5, 111
State v. Limon, 139
State v. Walsh, 81, 93, 246n. 25
Stein, Theodore, 54
Stevens, John Paul, 66, 77, 87–88, 123, 126–27, 132–34, 163
Stewart, Potter, 76–77, 136
Stoddard, Thomas D., 37, 40, 41, 45, 47, 63, 94, 98, 177, 179
Stonewall Riot, 1, 23–25, 29, 35, 63, 235n. 1
Storrs v. Holcomb, 257n. 26
Studies of litigation campaigns, 4–5
Sweeney, Tim, 37, 40, 43

Tadlock, Barry L., 193
Tarrow, Sidney, 7, 9, 10
Teal, Donn, 24
Tennessee, 39, 98, 104–5, 123
Texas: cases concerning gay rights, 58, 68, 70–72, 102–5, initiatives and referenda concerning gay rights, 144–45; laws and legislation concerning gay rights, 58, 70, 79, 129. See also *Baker v. Wade; Lawrence v. Texas*
Texas Human Rights Foundation, 19, 34, 111
Thom, Bill, 1–2, 26, 27–28, 34
Thomas, Clarence, 126, 133, 136, 163
Thomas, Kendall, 123
Tilly, Charles, 7
Tumeo v. University of Alaska, 183
Tushnet, Mark, 4, 213
Tymkovich, Timothy M., 166

Union of American Hebrew Congregations, 55
United Church Coalition for Lesbian/Gay Concerns, 55
U.S. Congress, 36, 112, 119, 180
U.S. Constitution, 9; Eighth Amendment, 66, 89–90, 101, 104; First Amendment, 2, 49, 83, 93, 131–32; Full Faith and Credit Clause, 179, 181. *See also* Equal protection, right of; Privacy, right of
U.S. Supreme Court: appointments to, 75–76, 82, 133–34; cases, 2, 10, 13, 20–21, 44–45, 49, 52, 55, 58–59, 66, 67, 70, 73–74, 83, 87–90, 118–19, 123, 132–33, 135–37, 146, 164–65; membership turnover, effects of, 75–78, 95, 123, 133–34, 162–63; voting patterns, 76–77, 126–27, 163

Vaid, Urvashi, 42
van der Meide, Wayne, 54
Vermont, 191–93; cases concerning gay rights, 175–76; Common Benefits Clause of state constitution, 185; laws and legislation concerning gay rights, 3, 119, 186, 198, 196–99. See also *Baker v. State of Vermont*
Virginia, 66, 105
Vose, Clement E., 5

Wahlbeck, Paul J., 219n. 7

Out of the Closets and into the Courts: Legal Opportunity Structure and Gay Rights Litigation by Ellen Ann Andersen
http://www.press.umich.edu/titleDetailDesc.do?id=17550 The University of Michigan Press, 2004

Wald, Kenneth D., 35, 193
Walker, Jack L., 174
Wasby, Stephen L., 5
Washington, 144–45, 148–49, 169
White, Byron, 44, 77, 87–88, 98, 120, 135, 163
Wilde, Kathy, 84–85, 87, 91, 96
Williams v. Glendening, 105, 111, 129–30
Wisconsin, 40, 73, 147, 169, 173
Wolfson, Evan, 94, 179
Wolfson, Mark, 11
Women's Law Center, 111
Women's movement, 3
Woodward v. United States, 93, 122

Yankelovich Partners, 201

Zald, Mayer N., 8