

# The White Welfare State

# The White Welfare State

The  
Racialization  
of U.S. Welfare  
Policy

*Deborah E. Ward*

# To Ivan



Copyright © by the University of Michigan 2005  
All rights reserved  
Published in the United States of America by  
The University of Michigan Press  
Manufactured in the United States of America  
Ⓢ Printed on acid-free paper

2008 2007 2006 2005 4 3 2 1

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, or otherwise, without the written permission of the publisher.

*A CIP catalog record for this book is available from the British Library.*

## Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Ward, Deborah E., 1967–

The White welfare state : the racialization of U.S. welfare policy / Deborah E. Ward.  
p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 0-472-11455-7 (cloth : alk. paper) — ISBN 0-472-03095-7 (pbk. : alk. paper)

1. Public welfare—United States. 2. Welfare recipients—Government policy—United States. 3. Social service and race relations—United States. 4. Racism—United States. 5. United States—Race relations. I. Title.

HV95.W335 2005  
361.6'089'00973—dc22

2005004223

# Acknowledgments

I BEGIN MY ACKNOWLEDGMENTS with my academic advisors from Columbia University's political science department. Although this book has evolved significantly from its original form as a dissertation, I am indebted to the inspiration that these colleagues have provided and continue to provide. So, I extend my deepest gratitude to Ira Katznelson, Robert Shapiro, Ester Fuchs, and Robert Lieberman. I also owe special thanks to Nancy Degnan, who helped guide me down the road toward a Ph.D. in the first place. Thank you all for your guidance and friendship. I also want to recognize the grants and fellowships that I received from various departments at Columbia University, especially the Public Policy Consortium Fellowship and the Center for Urban Research and Policy, which facilitated the timely completion of my doctoral studies.

My gratitude extends to Seton Hall University, specifically the political science department and its chair, Joseph Marbach, for the time and support given to finish this project. Transcending the axiom "the best dissertation is a done dissertation," Joe reminded me that the best dissertation is a published book. Obviously none of this would have happened without an editor. I feel very fortunate that the University of Michigan Press made this an enjoyable experience. Specifically, I thank Jim Reische for believing in my work and for patiently directing me through this publication process.

The assistance of many individuals in libraries and archives across the country was critical to the data collection for this manuscript, and a few deserve special mention. I am grateful to Gus Stamatopoulos of the Lehman Library at Columbia University for facilitating access to the state agency collection and, thereby, expediting the completion of my research. I also want to thank Timothy Slaughter, Reference Librarian at Harvard University's Littauer Library, for his assistance in locating critical state

and national government documents, and the Widener Library Circulation Services at Harvard College Library for granting me access to these collections. I also want to acknowledge assistance from the staff at the National Archives in Bethesda, Maryland, as I combed through boxes and volumes of Department of Labor records.

I next turn to my family. It seems a lifetime ago since I started this project. In fact, it has been many lifetimes. Since I started down this road in 1994, I have experienced both heartbreak and heartfelt joy, balancing the deaths of some of the most significant people in my life and the births of the two most important people in my life. Throughout, I have been fortunate to have a family that has consistently and selflessly given me their love, support, and encouragement. To my grandparents, who each in their own way were critical to my education, as well as my rearing, Lucy Ainilian Kaprelian, Edward Karnig Kaprelian, Esther Price Ward, and Stuart E. Ward Sr.: I miss you, but I know that you are smiling and sharing this with me, wherever you are. To my mother, Helen Kaprelian Ward: thank you for being my most honest critic, my most dedicated fan, and my best friend. To my father, Stuart E. Ward Jr.: thank you for introducing me to the path of political inquiry and for opening my eyes that the left is right. To my brother, Stuart Edward Ward: thank you for being you and for encouraging me, in your own special way, to always strive to be a better person. To my son, my heart, Jairo Karnig Stuart Gonzalez Ward: your birth both challenged and inspired me, and in the end, you made me a better scholar in addition to making me a mother. To my daughter, my soul, Ani Helen Elizabeth Esther Gonzalez Ward: thank you for reminding me every day that we must not forget our spirit and our passion, because that is what makes everything in life worthwhile. I thank my children for being patient, for sharing me with my work, and for bringing a smile to my heart. To my family: thank you all for giving me the courage and strength to pursue my dreams. I love you very much.

Finally, to my husband, Ivan D. Gonzalez: I am indebted to you for editing numerous drafts with a mighty pen and for the countless conversations and debates about my research, resulting in a better organized and better argued book. In many ways this has been a joint venture and a joint achievement. Most of all, thank you for being there for me all these years, encouraging me to forge ahead while finding a balance in my life . . . by being that balance in my life. It is a small but heartfelt acknowledgment: I dedicate this book to you.

# Contents

<i>List of Tables &amp; Figures</i>	ix
1. Introduction • HISTORY, RACE, & BUILDING THE AMERICAN WELFARE STATE	I
2. The Political & Social Context of Mothers’ Pensions • AN ERA OF RACIAL & ETHNIC DISCRIMINATION	14
3. The Mothers’ Pension Movement • ESTABLISHING BOUNDARIES OF EXCLUSION & INCLUSION	28
4. Mothers’ Pension Legislation • EXCLUSION BY STATUTE	55
5. Administration of Mothers’ Pension Programs • DE FACTO EXCLUSION	73
6. Mothers’ Pensions, the New Deal, & ADC • A RACIALIZED LEGACY	98
7. Conclusion • BUILDING A WHITE WELFARE STATE	131
<i>Appendix</i> • ADDITIONAL DATA	147
<i>Notes</i>	159
<i>Bibliography</i>	173
<i>Index</i>	187

# Tables & Figures

## Tables

1. Average Annual Earnings per Full-Time Employee by Industry, 1910–30, in 1970 Dollars	19
2. Immigration to the United States, 1910, 1914, 1922, and 1925	26
3. Selected States and the Termination of the Rights of the Poor at the Turn of the Twentieth Century	30
4. Southern African-American Population as a Percentage of Total U.S. African-American Population, 1920	58
5. Enactment of Mothers' Pension Legislation by Year and Region	59
6. Mothers' Pensions: Average Monthly Grant per Family, 1931	78
7. Regression Analysis: Average Monthly Grant per Family, 1931	79
8. Distribution of Mothers' Pension Benefits by Region, 1931	91
9. Regression: Percentage of African-American Population Receiving Aid, 1931	92
10. Mean Percentage of African-American Families Receiving Mothers' Pension Aid as a Proportion of African-American Families in the Population, 1931	95
11. Percentage Population Aided by Race, 1931	95
12. Comparison of the Number of Families and Average Grant per Family for ADC in Nineteen States, 1931, 1936, and 1940	112
13. Racial Differences in ADC Payments, 1939–40, in States with African-American Populations over 100,000 in 1930	122
14. Income and Population Statistics by Race	123
15. ADC Cases and 1940 Census Population	124
16. Means-Tested Programs as a Percentage of Total Social Welfare Spending, 1996	136

A.1. Mean Percentage State Population Aged Zero to Fourteen, 1920	147
A.2. Mean State per Capita Income, 1929	147
A.3. Mean Percentage State Urban Population, 1920	148
A.4. Mean Percentage State Urban African-American Population, 1920	148
A.5. Mean Percentage State African-American Population, 1920	148
A.6. Regression Model: Year Legislation to Aid Dependent Children First Enacted	149
A.7. ANOVA: Mean Year Mothers' Pension Legislation First Enacted	149
A.8. Regression Model: Enactment of Mothers' Pension Legislation	149
A.9. Level of Agency Administering Mothers' Pension Programs by Region, 1934	150
A.10. Bivariate Analysis: State Supervision of Mothers' Pension Programs by Region, 1934	150
A.11. States Stipulating Qualifying Marital Status by Region, 1934	150
A.12. Source of Mothers' Pension Program Funding by Region, 1934	150
A.13. Mean Annual per Capita Mothers' Pension Expenditure, 1931	151
A.14. Maximum Age of Child to Qualify for Benefits by Region, 1922 and 1934	151
A.15. Mothers' Pension Programs by Region, 1931	151
A.16. Administrative Units in States by Region, 1931	152
A.17. Mean Monthly Grant per Family, 1931	152
A.18. Mean Monthly Grant per Family in Urban and Other Areas, 1931	152
A.19. Mean Annual per Capita Mothers' Pension Expenditures, 1931	153
A.20. Mean Annual per Capita Mothers' Pension Expenditures in Urban and Other Areas, 1931	153
A.21. Mean Percentage of Administrative Units Reporting Grants, 1931	153
A.22. Distribution of Mothers' Pension Benefits by Region, 1931	154
A.23. Percentage of Families Receiving Mothers' Pension Benefits by Race and Region, 1931	154



A.24. Mean Percentage of African-American Families in Area Receiving Aid and of African-American Families in Area, 1931	154
A.25. Mean Percentage of African-American Family Population in Reporting Areas, 1931	155
A.26. Percentage of Persons on FERA to Total Population in Urban and Rural Areas in the 12 Confederate States	155
A.27. Regression Model: Number of ADC Cases in County by One Hundred Population	156
A.28. ADC Cases by State and Data Year	156
A.29. Mean Percentage of Population and ADC Cases per County	156

## Figures

1. Annual per capita expenditure for mothers' pensions, 1931	68
2. Status of fathers in families receiving mothers' pensions, 1931	77
3. Number of children on whose behalf payments for mothers' aid and ADC were made per one thousand population under sixteen years of age, by states, 1935-38	111
A.1. Percentage of state population in county by percentage of state African-American population in county	157