## **Choosing an Identity**

A General Model of Preference and Belief Formation

Sun-Ki Chai

Ann Arbor

THE UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN PRESS

Copyright ©by the University of Michigan 1999 All rights reserved Published in the United States of America by The University of Michigan Press Manufactured in the United States of America ©Printed on acid-free paper

2002 2001 2000 1999 4 3 2 1

A CIP catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Chai, Sun-Ki

Choosing an identity: a general theory of preference and belief formation /Sun-Ki Chai

p. cm

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 0-471-?????-? (alk. paper) —ISBN 0-471-?????-? (pbk. : alk. paper)

Social theory. Rational Choice.
 Political development.
 Comparative.
 Culture. Values and beliefs.

I. Chai, Sun-Ki. II. Title.

LOC Call No. 1999

Dewey Call No.

99-?????

 $\operatorname{CIP}$ 



## Contents

Preface		vii
1.	The Success and Failure of Rational Choice The Assumptions of the Rational Choice Approach	<b>1</b> 5
	The Strengths of Conventional Rational Choice	9
	The Weaknesses of Conventional Rational Choice	13
	The Chapter Structure of This Book	20
2.	Alternatives to Conventional Rational Choice:	
	A Survey	24
	Structural Assumptions and Models	25
	Assumptions about Decision Making	31
	Preference and Belief Assumptions	59
	Conclusion	77
3.	A General Model of Preference and	
	Belief Formation	81
	An Identity Coherence Model of Preference and	
	Belief Formation	82
	Assumptions of the Model	83
	Basic Implications of the Model	98
	Preference and Belief Change	100
	Rewards and Preference and Belief Change	105
	Implications for Dynamic Choice	111
	Implications for Collective Choice	116
	Plan for the Following Chapters	124
	Proofs of Theorems	125
4.	Ideology Formation and Policy Choice	
	in Ex-Colonies	129
	Theories of Policy Formation	131
	The Conventional Model of the State	133
	Variations on the Conventional Model of the State	138

	An Identity Formation Theory of Oppositional Ideology		
	Formation and Policy	148	
	A Statistical Analysis of Economic Intervention	164	
	Conclusion	172	
<b>5</b> .	The Origins of Ethnic Identity and		
	Collective Action	174	
	Rationalist Theories of Ethnic Collective Action	175	
	A Coherence-Rational Choice Theory of Ethnic		
	Group Formation	190	
	Case Studies	203	
	Conclusion	211	
6.	Structural Change, Cultural Change,		
	and Civic Violence	213	
	Theories of Tradition and Modernity	215	
	An Coherence Rational Choice Theory of Structural Change,		
	Altruism, and Collective Action	229	
	Conclusion	241	
7.	Conclusion	242	
	Modifying the Assumptions of the Model	242	
	Justifications for the Coherence Model	246	
	Summing Up	254	
References		257	
Index		321	

## **Preface**

This book provides a general model of preference and belief formation. integrating it with a model of rationality to generate a unified model of preferences, beliefs, and actions. The basic concept behind the model is one that appears under a variety of guises, depending on the social science literature from which it is taken: regret, dissonance, and coherence to name but a few. I argue that there is an essential theoretical unity to these concepts and that, properly defined and constrained, they can form the basis of a general positive model with implications not only for rational choice theories, but also for issues of personal identity and culture. I also argue that identity and culture are not antithetical to rationality but instead are essential to its having any meaning. The central premise of the model is that individuals act to optimize their preferences and beliefs within a set of phenomenological constraints, analogously to the way that they act to optimize actions within a perceived set of structural constraints. Indeed, optimization is seen to occur jointly across preferences, beliefs, and actions, as individuals seek to construct an optimal life plan that constitutes their

By providing this model, I hope to address some the major problems that have plagued attempts to extend the boundaries of the rational choice approach: (1) the ability to make determinate predictions and (2) the ability to make the transition from micro to macrolevel explanation. The model is examined in an extended fashion through three empirical studies that address major unresolved issues in the comparative study of long-term development.

While writing this book, I have incurred debts to a wide range of teachers and colleagues. This book was originally my dissertation at the Stanford political science department, and I would like to thank the members of my dissertation committee: my adviser Robert Packenham, Gabriel A. Almond, and John Ferejohn. I would also like to thank the people from whom I received regular comments and advice during various stages of writing: David Abernethy, Michael Hechter, James G. March, and the late Aaron Wildavsky.

I would also like to thank those who provided helpful comments on drafts of chapters, including George A. Akerlof, Jonathan Bendor, Richard Brody, Dennis Chong, William Dixon, Geoffrey Garrett, Kurt Gaubatz, David Grusky, Ted R. Gurr, Dwight Hahn, Satoshi Kanazawa, Sunhyuk Kim, Masaru Kohno, Stephen D. Krasner, David Laitin, Hye-ryeon Lee, Linda D. Molm, Susan Olzak, the late Kenneth Organski, Bertrand Roehner, Tibor Scitovsky, Paul M. Sniderman, the late Amos Tversky, and Barry R. Weingast. I apologize to anyone whose name I have left out inadvertedly. I also would like to thank the members of the Pizza and Politics and the Brown Bag Dissertation Writers groups at Stanford for risking indigestion on several presentations of my main ideas. I would also like to thank the University of Arizona sociology department for being ecumenical enough to hire a graduate from another discipline. Thanks also

to research assistants Jason Miller and Kristi Clark for help with

copyediting the document.

I would like express appreciation to everyone at the University of Michigan Press, including the successive politics editors: Malcolm Litchfield, Charles T. Myers, Jeremy Shine as well as their staff, for their admirable patience, as well as to two anonymous reviewers and one anonymous member of the executive committee for their detailed comments. Along with the usual thanks, I would also like to offer some apologies. First of all, to the people at Michigan, who were promised a completed manuscript by August 1995. This clearly did not happen! Sorry for the long wait, and I hope that the resulting improvements in the text at least partly compensate for it.

I should also acknowledge my ebtedness to the text-processing language Plain T<sub>E</sub>X, which was used to typeset this document, and the programming languages Snobol4 and Icon, which were used to write scripts for converting the citations, generating the index, and dealing

with other last-minute changes of mind on formatting.

Moreover, as an aside to those scholars whose theories are discussed and compared in this book: the nature of my topic has meant that I have had to skate over an extremely wide range of literature in a relatively short space. Hence, the dangers of superficiality or misrepresentation are omnipresent. Despite my best efforts, I am sure I have not always managed to avoid such dangers. I should also emphasize that each theory is evaluated in light of its applicability to the particular issue being discussed in the relevant chapter, not with regard to its overall usefulness, and I hope my comments will be taken in that light. Nonetheless, to those whose theories I have wronged in some fashion, I offer apologies in advance.

Finally, I would like to apologize to my wife, Hye-ryeon Lee, and my son, Alex, for seeming to walk around in a fog at times while I was writing the book.